## nature structural & molecular biology

Article

# Local structural flexibility drives oligomorphism in computationally designed protein assemblies

Received: 24 November 2023

Accepted: 14 January 2025

Published online: 26 February 2025

Check for updates

Alena Khmelinskaia (1,2,3,4,5), Neville P. Bethel (1,2,18, Farzad Fatehi<sup>6,7,18</sup>, Bhoomika Basu Mallik (1,2,3,4,5), Aleksandar Antanasijevic<sup>8,9,10</sup>, Andrew J. Borst (1,2,7, Szu-Hsueh Lai<sup>11,12,13</sup>, Ho Yeung Chim<sup>5</sup>, Jing Yang 'John' Wang<sup>1,2,14</sup>, Marcos C. Miranda (1,2, Andrew M. Watkins<sup>15</sup>, Cassandra Ogohara<sup>1,2</sup>, Shane Caldwell (1,2, Mengyu Wu<sup>1,2</sup>, Albert J. R. Heck (1,12, David Veesler (1,16, Andrew B. Ward (1,2,16), David Baker (1,2,16), Reidun Twarock (1,2,17), & Neil P. King (1,2).

Many naturally occurring protein assemblies have dynamic structures that allow them to perform specialized functions. Although computational methods for designing novel self-assembling proteins have advanced substantially over the past decade, they primarily focus on designing static structures. Here we characterize three distinct computationally designed protein assemblies that exhibit unanticipated structural diversity arising from flexibility in their subunits. Cryo-EM single-particle reconstructions and native mass spectrometry reveal two distinct architectures for two assemblies, while six cryo-EM reconstructions for the third likely represent a subset of its solution-phase structures. Structural modeling and molecular dynamics simulations indicate that constrained flexibility within the subunits of each assembly promotes a defined range of architectures rather than nonspecific aggregation. Redesigning the flexible region in one building block rescues the intended monomorphic assembly. These findings highlight structural flexibility as a powerful design principle, enabling exploration of new structural and functional spaces in protein assembly design.

Polyhedral protein assemblies have evolved in nature to shield macromolecules from the surrounding environment, to spatially control chemical reactions and to display macromolecules. These sophisticated functions have inspired the scientific community to explore natural protein assemblies for biotechnological and medical applications such as drug delivery, enzyme encapsulation and structure-based vaccine design<sup>1–3</sup>. However, the portfolio of natural protein assemblies is limited and often resistant to substantial modification, restricting their use in target applications.

In the last decade, protein engineering methods have been developed that allow the generation of new polyhedral protein

assemblies with structural properties tailored to specific applications. In particular, computational protein design can be used to generate novel self-assembling proteins with atomic-level accuracy<sup>4</sup>. Symmetric protein docking followed by protein–protein interface design has been used to generate polyhedral protein assemblies across a range of sizes, from small homomeric assemblies (-10 nm) to cage-like architectures constructed from up to four components larger than some viruses<sup>5-12</sup>. Powerful new machine learning-based methods for protein backbone<sup>13-15</sup> and sequence<sup>16-19</sup> generation promise to make the design of custom self-assembling proteins faster and easier, enabling the generation of protein-based

A full list of affiliations appears at the end of the paper. Me-mail: akhmelin@cup.lmu.de; neilking@uw.edu

nanomaterials of increasing sophistication by researchers in a variety of disciplines.

Since their emergence, computationally designed polyhedral protein assemblies have been customized for numerous applications, such as encapsulation of cargos of different sizes and hydrophobicities<sup>9,20-22</sup> improved circulation and tissue targeting in vivo<sup>20,23</sup>, cellular delivery<sup>9,24,25</sup>, enhanced receptor-mediated signaling<sup>14,26,27</sup>, molecular scaffolding for structure determination<sup>28-30</sup>, enzymatic colocalization<sup>31,32</sup> and multivalent antigen presentation<sup>33-38</sup>, including in multiple vaccines currently in clinical development<sup>39,40</sup> or licensed for use in humans<sup>41-43</sup>. Although these examples showcase the practical utility of computationally designed protein assemblies, all of them used rigid building blocks that assemble with strict point group symmetry, a feature that may limit their use in certain applications. For example, the packaging capacities of such assemblies are predefined by their sizes and inner volumes; thus appropriate self-assembling protein scaffolds must be chosen or designed for each encapsulation problem.

By contrast, many naturally occurring protein assemblies involved in cargo packaging and transport are constructed from building blocks with inherent structural flexibility, enabling them to adapt to target cargos by adopting a range of architectures. For example, the capsid proteins of many viruses can assume several closely related folds that break local symmetry and form different types of protein-protein contacts to self-assemble into multiple architectures<sup>44-48</sup>. This polymorphism has been previously exploited to encapsulate a variety of cargos in virus-like particles, including large DNA origami nanostructures<sup>49-51</sup>. Clathrin provides another clear example of protein coat adaptability: flexible clathrin triskelia interact with one another to drive the formation of coats that enclose membrane vesicles of varied curvatures and thus transport cargos of varied sizes<sup>52,53</sup>. Indeed, clathrin coats explore a vast assembly space and are observed to form fullerene cages (polyhedra containing 12 pentagonal faces and variable numbers of hexagonal faces) spanning multiple symmetry groups.

Beyond natural protein assemblies, flexibility and the resulting polymorphism have been recurring themes in bioengineering approaches from DNA nanotechnology to protein engineering. Symmetric five-point star DNA motifs containing central single-stranded loops have been shown to assemble into icosahedra or larger polymorphic architectures in a flexibility- and concentration-dependent manner that requires in-plane asymmetric deformations of the five-point star tiles<sup>54</sup>. Similar observations were made for a pair of complementary trimeric coiled-coil peptides that self-assemble into cage-like particles when mixed<sup>55,56</sup>. In MS2 bacteriophage virus-like particles it has been shown that engineered changes in the interconversion of symmetric to asymmetric capsid protein dimers, arising from conformational changes in the FG-loop, shift the assembly toward larger architectures<sup>50</sup>. In a remarkable tour de force, a polymorphic nonviral protein capsid derived from a bacterial lumazine synthase was evolved to form a monodisperse assembly that encapsulates its own RNA and protects it from degradation<sup>57</sup>. Reduced porosity and increased loading capacity arose during evolution through the acquisition of structural flexibility within individual subunits that could accommodate four related but distinct folds. Finally, the pioneering approach of designing novel protein assemblies by genetically fusing the subunits of two distinct protein oligomers in predefined orientations has also been shown to result in polymorphic assemblies when semiflexible linkers are used<sup>58-60</sup>. Indeed, in some cases, the assemblies can be locked into a single conformation by rigidifying the linker between the two domains<sup>61</sup>.

In spite of the examples described above, there are currently no computational protein design methods that controllably integrate structural flexibility to achieve multiple assembly outcomes. Here we characterize three designed self-assembling proteins that form multiple unanticipated structures that substantially deviate from the intended architectures. We find that the observed architectures can be explained by constrained structural flexibility within each building block. These observations, and the successful rescue of monomorphic assembly on redesign of the identified flexible region in one building block, suggest that modulating structural flexibility may be a general route to the controllable design of oligomorphic protein assemblies.

#### Results

During the course of our work designing novel protein nanoparticles, we observed that while most experimentally validated designs accurately assemble into the intended architecture, in a small number of cases the assemblies deviate from the design model. To understand the cause of these deviations, we set out to characterize in detail three such cases. KWOCAs 18 and 70 are two one-component assemblies intended to form icosahedral and octahedral architectures from trimeric building blocks, respectively<sup>62</sup>, while I32-10 is a two-component assembly designed to form an icosahedral nanoparticle from trimeric and dimeric building blocks<sup>7</sup> (Fig. 1a). Dynamic light scattering (DLS) and size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) of the purified proteins suggested that in all three cases, self-assembly resulted in mostly homogeneous assemblies (Fig. 1b,c). However, the measured average hydrodynamic diameter was substantially larger (25, 32 and 37 nm for KWOCA 18, KWOCA 70 and I32-10, respectively) than expected from the design models (21, 13 and 25 nm, respectively). Furthermore, small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) profiles revealed that the experimentally observed architectures possessed structural features that markedly deviate from the design models (Fig. 1d). Negative stain electron microscopy (nsEM) confirmed that each design assembles into well-defined, finite architectures, but that the assembly outcomes did not conform to the design models and in the case of I32-10 were clearly heterogeneous (Fig. 1e). Two-dimensional (2D) class averages defined unexpected structural aspects of the resulting assemblies: small nonspherical assemblies in the averages of KWOCA 18 did not match projections calculated from the icosahedral design model, KWOCA 70 exhibited apparent pentagonal pores that are inconsistent with the designed octahedral assembly and averages of I32-10 contained unexpected hexagonal pores in addition to the pentagonal pores expected for the intended icosahedral symmetry. Although we have previously observed that deviations in designed protein interfaces can lead to large architectural deviations<sup>62</sup>, here the coexistence of features with different apparent symmetries led us to speculate that the observed architectures arise from structural flexibility within the building blocks. All three assemblies are formed from trimeric scaffolds with a similar two-domain topology: a central helical bundle that functions as a trimerization domain and a separate helical domain that contains the protein-protein interface driving assembly at the twofold axis of symmetry of the intended polyhedral architecture (Fig. 1f and Extended Data Table 1). In KWOCAs 18 and 70, the trimeric scaffolds were designed by helical fusion and sequence redesign between the two domains<sup>63</sup>, which may introduce packing defects at the junction. In 132-10, the naturally occurring trimeric scaffold (Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID 1SED) contains a small interface and hinge-like loop between the two domains that closely resembles the architecture of collectins, in which flexibility between the two domains is known to be related to carbohydrate binding<sup>64</sup>. We thus hypothesized that flexibility within each trimeric building block drives assembly toward the observed alternative and heterogeneous conformations.

To determine whether structural metrics used during novel protein nanoparticle design could detect potential sites of flexibility, we first analyzed the interdomain region of each of the trimeric scaffolds using AlphaFold2 (AF2)<sup>65</sup> and the Rosetta software suite<sup>66</sup>. Overall, AF2 predicted all scaffolds with high confidence (Fig. 2a), as assessed by the average predicted local distance difference test (pLDDT) (93, 95 and 94 for KWOCA18, KWOCA 70 and 132-10, respectively). Previous work has correlated the degree of flexibility and the presence of unstructured regions with AF2 pLDDT at the residue level<sup>67-69</sup>. We thus compared in more detail the prediction accuracy within the hypothesized regions of flexibility (that is, the junction and hinge regions) with that of core



**Fig. 1** | **Computationally designed self-assembling proteins occasionally present large deviations from the intended architecture. a**, Design models of the de novo one-component assemblies KWOCA 18 and KWOCA 70 (ref. 62) and the two-component assembly 132-10 (ref. 7). **b**-**e**, DLS (**b**), SEC traces (**c**), SAXS profiles (**d**) and nsEM micrographs and representative 2D class averages (**e**) obtained for each design. Hydrodynamic diameters, SAXS profiles and 2D

projections calculated from the computational design models are shown in light color in **b**, **d** and **e**. Scale bars in **e**, 50 nm. Each measurement was repeated at least three independent times. **f**, Representation of the two-domain structure of each trimeric building block. One subunit of each trimer is shown in a darker shade with the regions proposed to be structurally flexible highlighted in light color.





density maps, 5 nm. **f**-**i**, Native MS (**f**,**g**) and charge detection mass spectra (**h**,**i**) obtained for KWOCAs 18 (**f**,**h**) and 70 (**g**,**i**). Each peak is labeled with a symbol indicating the number of trimers in the corresponding assembly species. **j**, Distributions of internal angles in wireframe representations of the expected and observed assemblies. Wireframe assemblies are colored as in **c**-**e**, and an example internal angle is indicated for the designed icosahedral assembly of KWOCA 18.

## Table 1 | Cryo-EM data collection, refinement and validation statistics

	KWOCA 18 (EMD-42917 and EMD-42919)	KWOCA 70 (EMD-42921 and EMD-42924)	132-10
Data collection and process	sing		
Magnification	36,000	36,′000	36,000
Voltage (kV)	200	200	200
Electron exposure (e <sup>-</sup> /Å <sup>2</sup> )	50.1	50.1	60.0
Defocus range (µm)	-(1.0-1.5)	-(1.0-1.5)	-(0.8-1.8)
Pixel size (Å)	1.15	1.15	1.16
Symmetry imposed	D2 and D5	D2 and D3	C1
Initial particle images (no.)	300,970	360,972	Variable
Final particle images (no.)	45,922 and 22,981	32,790 and 302,683	Variable
Map resolution (Å)	8.0 and 7.1	7.2 and 7.1	~10 to 25
FSC threshold			
Map resolution range (Å)	NA	NA	NA

NA, not available.

regions within each scaffold, and observed that the former present on average lower pLDDT scores. Rosetta-calculated solvent accessible surface area and average degree (that is, the number of neighboring residues within 10 Å) additionally revealed that the junction and hinge regions appear to be more exposed to solvent and less well embedded in the protein structure than the core regions, further supporting our flexibility hypothesis (Fig. 2b).

To understand the assemblies further, we characterized them by cryogenic-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) (Fig. 2c-e). Micrographs of each assembly embedded in vitreous ice confirmed our assessment that they all form well-defined, finite, but apparently heterogeneous assemblies. Three-dimensional (3D) reconstructions at resolutions of 7-8 Å (Extended Data Figs. 1 and 2 and Table 1) revealed that KWO-CAs 18 and 70 do not assemble into the originally intended architectures, but instead form two distinct assemblies each, comprising both square- and pentagon-like pores: KWOCA 18 forms species with D5 and D2 symmetry assembled from 10 and 12 trimers, respectively (Fig. 2c), while KWOCA 70 forms species with D2 and D3 symmetry assembled from 12 and 14 trimers, respectively (Fig. 2d). For I32-10 we obtained six different cryo-EM maps at resolutions between 7 and 15 Å (Extended Data Fig. 3), revealing even greater heterogeneity: in addition to the originally intended icosahedral architecture (20 trimers + 30 dimers), I32-10 was also observed to form one tetrahedral (28 trimers + 42 dimers), three dihedral (26, 32 and 36 trimers + 39, 48 and 54 dimers, respectively) and one low-symmetry cyclic (30 trimers + 45 dimers) architectures all belonging to the series of fullerene cages (Fig. 2e). The internal angle distributions within wireframe models of each observed architecture highlights the flexibility required to form them (Fig. 2j). Specifically, although all internal angles are identical in the original design models due to their perfect symmetry, each of the other architectures requires a unique set of multiple internal angles. It is also important to note that in these architectures, trimeric building blocks often delineate neighboring pores of different shapes, breaking local symmetry. These observations establish that the trimeric building blocks of KWOCAs 18 and 70, and potentially the trimeric building block of I32-10, are capable of adopting multiple quasisymmetric conformations.

We then turned to native mass spectrometry<sup>70</sup> (nMS) to determine whether species beyond those observed by EM were present. Consistent with the numerous assemblies observed by cryo-EM, I32-10 yielded a broad spectrum with no resolvable peaks and was not analyzed further. For KWOCA18, three distinct assemblies containing 28, 30 and 36 subunits were readily identified in the m/z range between 12,000 and 16,500 (Fig. 2f). After charge-state deconvolution, the acquired masses of these three architectures were 827, 886 and 1,064 kDa, respectively. These measured masses are highly consistent with the calculated masses (<0.3% deviation). In the case of KWOCA 70, two different assemblies formed by 36 and 42 subunits were abundantly present in the m/z range between 14,000 and 18,500 (Fig. 2g). After deconvolution of charge states, masses of 1,137 and 1,327 kDa were obtained, respectively, both within 0.1% of the expected masses for these assembly states. Simulated mass spectra composed of three or two architectures accompanied by the calculated mass shifts fit very well the measured native mass spectra for KWOCA 18 and 70, respectively (Extended Data Fig. 4a). Our stoichiometry assignments were further confirmed by both Orbitrap-based single molecule charge detection MS for directly acquiring mass distribution histograms (Fig. 2h,i)<sup>71,72</sup> and tandem MS experiments, in which precursor ions within a certain m/z range were specifically selected by a quadrupole linear ion trap for the higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) fragmentation (Extended Data Fig. 4b). Both nMS spectra additionally showed a wide distribution of less abundant peaks in the lower m/z range (Extended Data Fig. 4c). For KWOCA 18, all oligomers from trimer to decamer could be assigned, suggesting the addition or ejection of single subunits from assembly intermediates rather than trimeric building blocks. By contrast, the number of subunits observed in smaller assembly intermediates of KWOCA 70 were all multiples of three, suggesting that the trimeric building block of KWOCA 70 is more stable than that of KWOCA 18. The relative instability and continuous distribution of assembly states may explain the presence of the 28-mer species observed for KWOCA18. Overall, these results support our observation that each design, despite its intrinsic structural flexibility, does not form disordered aggregates but instead assembles into multiple highly ordered architectures.

Intrigued by these oligomorphic assemblies, we used a combination of molecular dynamics and rigid-body fitting to cryo-EM density to investigate the origin of the flexibility. We hypothesized that there could be three main sources of flexibility: (1) around the threefold axis (trimeric helical bundle), (2) around the twofold axis between neighboring trimers (designed protein-protein interface) and (3) within the subunit, at the connection point between the two domains (junction or hinge region). To identify inherent structural fluctuations, we performed molecular dynamics simulations for each trimeric and dimeric building block as well as the interfaces formed between them (Fig. 3a,e,i and Extended Data Fig. 5). While the simulations suggest that the individual domains are rigid, with average root mean square deviations (r.m.s.d.s) of approximately 1 Å (Extended Data Fig. 5), large fluctuations between trimer arms were observed. Indeed, root mean square fluctuations along the sequence of each monomer, which measure the average deviation of each residue from its reference position, revealed that small fluctuations within the subunit add up to large deviations at the ends of the trimer arms, the sites of the designed protein-protein interfaces that drive nanoparticle assembly (Fig. 3b, f, j and Extended Data Table 1). The fluctuations observed in the interface and I32-10 dimer trajectories were overall lower in magnitude than those observed in the trimer simulations. Given the observed fluctuations, we speculated that it should be possible to reconstruct each of the observed assemblies using a small number of structural snapshots from the molecular dynamics trajectories. Indeed, one and two trimeric and two and five interface snapshots were sufficient to build well-fitting models for the cryo-EM densities of the D5 and D2 assemblies of KWOCA 18, respectively (Fig. 3c,d and Extended Data Fig. 6a). Modeling the D2 and D3 assemblies of KWOCA 70 required four and four trimeric and five and four interface snapshots, respectively (Fig. 3g,h and Extended



**Fig. 3** | **Local structural flexibility is a key driver of oligomorphism.** Overlaid molecular dynamics trajectories obtained for trimeric (dark) and dimeric (light) building blocks and the interfaces between them (**a**,**e**,**i**), respective root mean square fluctuations (**r**.**m**.s.f.) (**b**,**f**,**j**), internal angle distributions (**c**,**d**,**g**,**h**,**k**) and

assembly models (**c,d,g,h,l**) for each observed species for KWOCA 18 (**a**–**d**), KWOCA 70 (**e**–**h**) and 132-10 (**i**–**l**). Internal angles within the molecular dynamics trajectory snapshots used to build the KWOCA 18 and KWOCA 70 models are highlighted with dashed lines in the respective angle distributions in **c,d,g,h**.

Data Fig. 6b). Analyzing the internal angles within the snapshots used to build the models further revealed that a considerable proportion deviate from the average angles observed in the molecular dynamics trajectories, highlighting that the subunits must flex to form each architecture. More specifically, superpositions of individual chains revealed that the main source of flexibility is not at the three- or twofold protein-protein interfaces, but rather the junction region within the subunit between them (Extended Data Fig. 6a,b). Notably, using rigid bodies extracted from the original, perfectly symmetric design models vielded structures that fit worse to the experimental crvo-EM densities, with large alignment defects and clashes that would prevent assembly (Extended Data Fig. 6c-f). Given the lower resolution of the cryo-EM maps obtained for I32-10 it was more difficult to accurately dock  $\alpha$  helices, so we took a simpler approach to model construction. Instead of structural snapshots from the molecular dynamics trajectories, we used two building blocks extracted from the original design model, specifically chosen to introduce degrees of freedom at the main point of flexibility in the trimeric subunit: the hinge region. These building blocks comprised (1) the central three-helix bundle of the trimeric component and (2) the entire dimeric component along with the two interacting globular domains of the adjacent trimeric components (Extended Data Fig. 6a). Rigid-body fitting using these building blocks recovered all six of the observed architectures (Fig. 31 and Extended Data Fig. 7). Our ability to reconstruct the experimentally observed architectures for all three systems using building blocks that introduce flexibility at the junction or hinge region, as opposed to perfectly symmetric rigid building blocks, strongly implicates this flexibility as the source of oligomorphic assembly.

Given the limited number of architectures we detected by nMS and cryo-EM for KWOCAs 18 and 70, we asked what other structures might be present and at what frequency, focusing on the KWOCAs

Nature Structural & Molecular Biology

since I32-10 adopts a wider variety of architectures, likely forming even more than we were able to visualize by cryo-EM. To do so, we enumerated polyhedral architectures with regular pentagonal and square faces and evaluated their compatibility with the respective building blocks of the two systems. The key assumption behind our approach was that the geometric features of the most populated species detected by nMS and crvo-EM represent the most energetically favorable conformations of the constituent proteins. We focused on two geometric features in particular. First, we defined S as the sum of the internal angles  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  between the three subunits of each trimeric building block (Fig. 4a). S therefore depends on the shape of the trimer and would equal 360° if the trimer were 'flat', becoming smaller (since  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  become more acute) as the trimer 'stretches' due to flexibility within its subunits (Fig. 4b). We calculated S for each trimeric building block in the KWOCA models fit into the cryo-EM reconstructions in Fig. 3c,d and found that it ranged from 278° to 288° in KWOCA 18 and from 305° to 312° in KWOCA 70 (Fig. 4c). In each case, these ranges deviated from the single values expected from the originally designed icosahedral (277°) and octahedral (318°) architectures, respectively.

We used these experimentally observed ranges of *S* to approximate the structures of hypothetical KWOCA 18 and 70 assemblies in a series of polyhedral architectures comprising 8, 10, 12, 14, 16 and 20 trimers that includes the experimentally observed architectures for each protein (Fig. 4d). We placed 'trimers' (Methods) on each vertex of these architectures with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  reflecting the angles of the underlying scaffold polyhedron. From these structures we calculated the second key geometric feature,  $\delta$ , which represents the 'interface angle' defined by the internal angle between the two 'subunits' that meet at the interface between two neighboring trimeric building blocks (Fig. 4a).  $\delta$  therefore also depends on the shape of the trimeric building block, namely *S*, as



**Fig. 4** | **Landscapes of possible polyhedral architectures accessible to each flexible building block. a**, Hypothetical KWOCA architectures can be described by the sum of internal angles between the subunits of the trimer, *S* (left) and the dihedral angle  $\delta$  at the interface between neighboring trimers (right). The internal angle between neighboring local threefold symmetry axes,  $\varphi$ , is characteristic of each polyhedral architecture. **b**, The trimer subunits of KWOCA 18, KWOCA 70 and 132-10 (shown for comparison) differ in *S*. **c**, The multiple values of *S* observed experimentally for KWOCAs 18 and 70, compared to the

single values of the perfectly symmetric design models, highlight the flexibility of these proteins. **d**, The average  $\delta$  necessary to obtain each architecture is shown. Green and pink ranges highlight the regime in the experimentally observed architectures. The 16-trimer architecture cannot be formed without extreme asymmetry (that is,  $\theta$  values well beyond the experimentally observed ranges). The dashed lines indicate the  $\delta$  of the design models for KWOCA 18 (20 trimers) and KWOCA 70 (eight trimers). In both cases, *S* is outside the range of the experimentally observed architectures.



Fig. 5 | Redesign of the flexible junction region of KWOCA 70 recovers monomorphic octahedral assemblies. **a**, Model of an individual subunit of KWOCA 70 D7, highlighting changes relative to the original KWOCA 70 design at both the backbone and sequence levels. **b**-**d**, DLS (**b**), SEC traces (**c**) and nsEM (**d**) representative 2D class averages for KWOCA 70 D7. The hydrodynamic diameter calculated from the computational design model is shown in gray in **b**, while the

experimentally observed diameter of KWOCA 70 is shown in dark pink. Scale bar in **d**, 100 nm. **e**, AlphaFold2 multimer confidence prediction (pLDDT), but not Rosetta-calculated SASA and average degree, suggest packing improvements in the junction region of KWOCA 70 D7. Average per-residue values with standard deviation for the regions defined in Extended Data Table 1 are plotted.

well as  $\varphi$ , the fixed internal angle between neighboring vertices in each polyhedral architecture. There is a further degree of freedom affecting  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  that stems from two contacting trimer arms rotating about an edge by an angle  $\theta$  (Extended Data Fig. 8a), which asymmetrizes the trimer angles away from those of the underlying scaffold.  $\theta$  both

affects the value of S and creates a twist in the concerned trimer arms. The estimated accessible range of  $\theta$ , gauged from the cryo-EM structures of each protein, was 0–20° in KWOCA 18 and 0–12° in KWOCA 70 (Extended Data Fig. 8b). We posit that substantial deviations in  $\delta$  from the values observed in the cryo-EM models in Fig. 3c,d would not be



**Fig. 6** | **Assembly space of quasisymmetric architectures.** Each point represents a hypothetical sequence–structure pair. Points are clustered to represent different types of architecture. Ferritin and O3-33 are provided in the fully symmetric assembly space as examples of natural and designed self-assembling proteins with octahedral symmetry. KWOCAs 18 and 70 and 132-10 form multiple distinct architectures, as indicated by the several highlighted points for each. For comparison, we highlight clathrin, which shares multiple architectures with 132-10. The unbounded nature of the quasisymmetric space represents the essentially infinite possible architectures.

tolerated, as this would require distortion of the designed interfaces that drive assembly. We therefore estimated the feasibility of realizing each architecture by comparing the averaged values of  $\delta$  calculated across each type of vertex to those derived from the most populated architectures observed experimentally. The range of average  $\delta$  in the experimentally observed KWOCA 18 architectures was 56-60°. while average  $\delta$  ranged from 74–79° in the KWOCA 70 architectures (Fig. 4d). However,  $\delta$  fell outside the observed ranges for each of the other polyhedral architectures. For KWOCA 18 the average  $\delta$  would have to shrink by 9° or expand by 3° to access the next architectures in the series, whereas for KWOCA 70  $\delta$  would have to shrink by 3° to access the 10-trimer architecture and no angle was found to satisfy the 16-trimer architecture without requiring extreme asymmetry of the trimer arms. The latter would only be feasible if  $\theta$  increased by at least 119% for KWOCA 18 and 148% for KWOCA 70 above the maximal value in the observed range. Combined with our experimental data, these results indicate that flexibility of the trimeric building blocks and the designed interfaces between them is constrained, leading to the formation of a small number of distinct, well-defined architectures rather than a wide variety of polymorphic assemblies.

Our modeling predicted that eliminating flexibility in the junction region of our trimeric building blocks would allow us to recover monomorphic, fully symmetric assemblies. To test this hypothesis, we redesigned the trimeric building block of KWOCA 70 to rigidify its junction region by generating alternative backbone conformations with RFdiffusion<sup>13</sup>, followed by ProteinMPNN<sup>19</sup> sequence redesign (Fig. 5a and Methods). Initial experimental screening of 15 designs (Supplementary Table 1) identified KWOCA 70 D7 as particularly promising: DLS and SEC suggested the presence of mostly homogeneous assemblies of smaller hydrodynamic diameter than KWOCA 70 (19 versus 32 nm, respectively) (Fig. 5b,c). nsEM revealed that KWOCA 70 D7 formed the intended assembly with octahedral geometry, as indicated by the clear fourfold projections observed in 2D class averages that closely resembled those calculated from the original design model (Fig. 5d; compare to Fig. 1e). While Rosetta analysis did not show appreciable differences in the per-residue solvent accessible surface area (SASA) or average degree of the KWOCA 70 D7 junction compared to the original KWOCA 70 design, we did observe an improvement in the average pLDDT such that it was indistinguishable from the core region (Fig. 5e). Together, these results support our hypothesis that modulating local structural flexibility can be used as a design principle to control polyhedral assembly outcome.

#### Discussion

Here we describe how inherent structural flexibility within protein building blocks leads to the formation of a small number of well-defined architectures in computationally designed protein assemblies. While excessive flexibility is expected to result in off-target incomplete assembly or disordered aggregates<sup>73,74</sup>, our results indicate that constrained flexibility results in oligomorphic rather than polymorphic assembly outcomes. This has been previously demonstrated in DNA nanotechnology, where introducing flexibility in the form of short single-stranded segments within trimeric tiles enabled the generation of several distinct polyhedral architectures<sup>54</sup>. While current computational protein design

#### Article

methods mostly focus on combining symmetry with rigid building blocks to accurately design specific target structures<sup>4</sup>, the assemblies we describe here are quasisymmetric in that their protein building blocks have multiple related but distinct conformations. Among the best-studied quasisymmetric protein assemblies are icosahedral virus capsids, many of which are fullerene-like architectures constructed from a single capsid protein that forms pentameric and hexameric substructures consistent with icosahedral symmetry by adopting slightly different backbone conformations<sup>75</sup>. KWOCAs 18 and 70 and I32-10 employ quasisymmetry in a different way: the flexibility within each subunit allows for local asymmetry within the building blocks that enables the formation of assemblies with ring-like substructures of various sizes, shapes and numbers of subunits. In turn, this allows the formation not only of collapsed architectures as previously observed in fusion-based design approaches<sup>59,76</sup>, but also expanded ones that incorporate a larger number of subunits than originally intended. When compared to quasisymmetric viruses, which retain global icosahedral symmetry, these architectures are symmetrically and morphologically distinct, including several that to our knowledge have not been previously observed in natural protein assemblies. The latter observation highlights how this design principle could be applied prospectively to explore a large space of quasisymmetric architectures previously inaccessible to design (Fig. 6).

Such architectures and the unusual oligomorphism we observed suggest intriguing prospects for designing adaptable protein assemblies tailored to specific applications. For example, naturally occurring self-assembling systems built of intrinsically flexible scaffolds, best exemplified by clathrin, adapt to and encapsulate cargos of different sizes<sup>52,53</sup>. Clathrin assembles on the cytoplasmic side of intracellular vesicles, forming a coat that facilitates vesicle formation and transport. Designed proteins that form adaptable clathrin-like assemblies with defined size ranges could potentially be used as bio-orthogonal systems for encapsulating membrane-bounded vesicles, or could conceivably encapsulate other classes of biomolecules such as nucleic acids or ribonucleoprotein complexes<sup>9,25,77</sup>. It is intriguing to consider that interactions between such cargos and oligomorphic protein coats may shift the distribution or even type of architectures formed by the coats, allowing them to adapt to cargos of various kinds and sizes. The design principles that we have outlined here will allow this and other hypotheses to be tested, potentially leading to novel adaptable designed protein nanomaterials that can be tailored to specific applications.

#### **Online content**

Any methods, additional references, Nature Portfolio reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-025-01490-z.

#### References

- 1. Howorka, S. Rationally engineering natural protein assemblies in nanobiotechnology. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **22**, 485–491 (2011).
- Lee, E. J., Lee, N. K. & Kim, I.-S. Bioengineered protein-based nanocage for drug delivery. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **106**, 157–171 (2016).
- Olshefsky, A., Richardson, C., Pun, S. H. & King, N. P. Engineering self-assembling protein nanoparticles for therapeutic delivery. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 33, 2018–2034 (2022).
- Basu Mallik, B., Stanislaw, J., Alawathurage, T. M. & Khmelinskaia, A. De novo design of polyhedral protein assemblies: before and after the AI revolution. *Chem. Bio. Chem.* 24, e202300117 (2023).
- King, N. P. et al. Computational design of self-assembling protein nanomaterials with atomic level accuracy. *Science* **336**, 1171–1174 (2012).

- King, N. P. et al. Accurate design of co-assembling multicomponent protein nanomaterials. *Nature* 510, 103–108 (2014).
- Bale, J. B. et al. Accurate design of megadalton-scale two-component icosahedral protein complexes. *Science* 353, 389–394 (2016).
- 8. Hsia, Y. et al. Design of a hyperstable 60-subunit protein dodecahedron. [corrected]. *Nature* **535**, 136–139 (2016).
- Yang, E. C. et al. Computational design of non-porous pH-responsive antibody nanoparticles. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **31**, 1404–1412.
- 10. Huddy, T. F. et al. Blueprinting extendable nanomaterials with standardized protein blocks. *Nature* **627**, 898–904 (2024).
- Lee, S. et al. Four-component protein nanocages designed by programmed symmetry breaking. *Nature* https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-024-07814-1 (2024).
- Dowling, Q. M. et al. Hierarchical design of pseudosymmetric protein nanocages. *Nature* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08360-6 (2024).
- Watson, J. L. et al. De novo design of protein structure and function with RFdiffusion. *Nature* 620, 1089–1100 (2023).
- 14. Lutz, I. D. et al. Top-down design of protein architectures with reinforcement learning. Science **380**, 266–273 (2023).
- 15. Ingraham, J. et al. Illuminating protein space with a programmable generative model. *Nature* **623**, 1070–1078 (2023).
- Ingraham, J., Garg, V. K., Barzilay, R. & Jaakkola, T. Generative models for graph-based protein design. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2019) Vol. 32 (eds Wallach, H. et al.) 1–12 (2019).
- 17. Verkuil, R. et al. Language models generalize beyond natural proteins. Preprint at *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.21.521521 (2022).
- Anand, N. et al. Protein sequence design with a learned potential. Nat. Commun. 13, 746 (2022).
- 19. Dauparas, J. et al. Robust deep learning-based protein sequence design using ProteinMPNN. *Science* **378**, 49–56 (2022).
- 20. Butterfield, G. L. et al. Evolution of a designed protein assembly encapsulating its own RNA genome. *Nature* **552**, 415–420 (2017).
- Edwardson, T. G. W., Mori, T. & Hilvert, D. Rational engineering of a designed protein cage for siRNA delivery. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 10439–10442 (2018).
- Edwardson, T. G. W., Tetter, S. & Hilvert, D. Two-tier supramolecular encapsulation of small molecules in a protein cage. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 5410 (2020).
- Olshefsky, A. et al. In vivo selection of synthetic nucleocapsids for tissue targeting. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **120**, e2306129120 (2023).
- 24. Votteler, J. et al. Designed proteins induce the formation of nanocage-containing extracellular vesicles. *Nature* **540**, 292–295 (2016).
- Horns, F. et al. Engineering RNA export for measurement and manipulation of living cells. *Cell* 186, 3642–3658.e32 (2023).
- Mohan, K. et al. Topological control of cytokine receptor signaling induces differential effects in hematopoiesis. *Science* 364, eaav7532 (2019).
- 27. Divine, R. et al. Designed proteins assemble antibodies into modular nanocages. *Science* **372**, eabd9994 (2021).
- Liu, Y., Gonen, S., Gonen, T. & Yeates, T. O. Near-atomic cryo-EM imaging of a small protein displayed on a designed scaffolding system. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 115, 3362–3367 (2018).
- 29. Liu, Y., Huynh, D. T. & Yeates, T. O. A 3.8 Å resolution cryo-EM structure of a small protein bound to an imaging scaffold. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1864 (2019).
- 30. Castells-Graells, R. et al. Cryo-EM structure determination of small therapeutic protein targets at 3 Å-resolution using a rigid imaging scaffold. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **120**, e2305494120 (2023).

- McConnell, S. A. et al. Designed protein cages as scaffolds for building multienzyme materials. ACS Synth. Biol. 9, 381–391 (2020).
- Ledesma-Fernandez, A., Velasco-Lozano, S., Santiago-Arcos, J., López-Gallego, F. & Cortajarena, A. L. Engineered repeat proteins as scaffolds to assemble multi-enzyme systems for efficient cell-free biosynthesis. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 2587 (2023).
- Bruun, T. U. J., Andersson, A.-M. C., Draper, S. J. & Howarth, M. Engineering a rugged nanoscaffold to enhance plug-and-display vaccination. ACS Nano 12, 8855–8866 (2018).
- 34. Brouwer, P. J. M. et al. Enhancing and shaping the immunogenicity of native-like HIV-1 envelope trimers with a two-component protein nanoparticle. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 4272 (2019).
- Ueda, G. et al. Tailored design of protein nanoparticle scaffolds for multivalent presentation of viral glycoprotein antigens. *eLife* 9, e57659 (2020).
- Rahikainen, R. et al. Overcoming symmetry mismatch in vaccine nanoassembly through spontaneous amidation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 60, 321–330 (2021).
- Cohen, A. A. et al. Mosaic nanoparticles elicit cross-reactive immune responses to zoonotic coronaviruses in mice. *Science* 371, 735–741 (2021).
- Walls, A. C. et al. Elicitation of broadly protective sarbecovirus immunity by receptor-binding domain nanoparticle vaccines. *Cell* 184, 5432–5447.e16 (2021).
- 39. Marcandalli, J. et al. Induction of potent neutralizing antibody responses by a designed protein nanoparticle vaccine for respiratory syncytial virus. *Cell* **176**, 1420–1431.e17 (2019).
- 40. Boyoglu-Barnum, S. et al. Quadrivalent influenza nanoparticle vaccines induce broad protection. *Nature* **592**, 623–628 (2021).
- Walls, A. C. et al. Elicitation of potent neutralizing antibody responses by designed protein nanoparticle vaccines for SARS-CoV-2. Cell 183, 1367–1382.e17 (2020).
- 42. Song, J. Y. et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a SARS-CoV-2 recombinant protein nanoparticle vaccine (GBP510) adjuvanted with ASO3: a randomised, placebo-controlled, observer-blinded phase 1/2 trial. *eClinicalMedicine* **51**, 101569 (2022).
- 43. Song, J. Y. et al. Immunogenicity and safety of SARS-CoV-2 recombinant protein nanoparticle vaccine GBP510 adjuvanted with ASO3: interim results of a randomised, active-controlled, observer-blinded, phase 3 trial. *eClinicalMedicine* **64**, 102140 (2023).
- Caspar, D. L. & Klug, A. Physical principles in the construction of regular viruses. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 27, 1–24 (1962).
- Johnson, J. E. & Speir, J. A. Quasi-equivalent viruses: a paradigm for protein assemblies. J. Mol. Biol. 269, 665–675 (1997).
- Shoemaker, G. K. et al. Norwalk virus assembly and stability monitored by mass spectrometry. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 9, 1742–1751 (2010).
- 47. Zlotnick, A. & Mukhopadhyay, S. Virus assembly, allostery and antivirals. *Trends Microbiol.* **19**, 14–23 (2011).
- Mateu, M. G. Assembly, stability and dynamics of virus capsids. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 531, 65–79 (2013).
- 49. de Ruiter, M. V. et al. Polymorphic assembly of virus-capsid proteins around DNA and the cellular uptake of the resulting particles. *J. Control. Release* **307**, 342–354 (2019).
- Biela, A. P., Naskalska, A., Fatehi, F., Twarock, R. & Heddle, J. G. Programmable polymorphism of a virus-like particle. *Commun. Mater.* 3, 7 (2022).
- 51. Seitz, I. et al. DNA-origami-directed virus capsid polymorphism. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **18**, 1205–1212 (2023).
- Morris, K. L. et al. Cryo-EM of multiple cage architectures reveals a universal mode of clathrin self-assembly. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 26, 890–898 (2019).

- 53. Paraan, M. et al. The structures of natively assembled clathrin-coated vesicles. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eaba8397 (2020).
- Zhang, C. et al. Conformational flexibility facilitates self-assembly of complex DNA nanostructures. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 10665–10669 (2008).
- 55. Fletcher, J. M. et al. Self-assembling cages from coiled-coil peptide modules. *Science* **340**, 595–599 (2013).
- 56. Mosayebi, M. et al. Beyond icosahedral symmetry in packings of proteins in spherical shells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, 9014–9019 (2017).
- Tetter, S. et al. Evolution of a virus-like architecture and packaging mechanism in a repurposed bacterial protein. *Science* **372**, 1220–1224 (2021).
- Padilla, J. E., Colovos, C. & Yeates, T. O. Nanohedra: using symmetry to design self assembling protein cages, layers, crystals, and filaments. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **98**, 2217–2221 (2001).
- Lai, Y.-T. et al. Structure of a designed protein cage that self-assembles into a highly porous cube. *Nat. Chem.* 6, 1065–1071 (2014).
- 60. Kobayashi, N. et al. Self-assembling nano-architectures created from a protein nano-building block using an intermolecularly folded dimeric de novo protein. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 11285–11293 (2015).
- 61. Lai, Y.-T., Cascio, D. & Yeates, T. O. Structure of a 16-nm cage designed by using protein oligomers. *Science* **336**, 1129 (2012).
- 62. Wang, J. Y. J. et al. Improving the secretion of designed protein assemblies through negative design of cryptic transmembrane domains. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **120**, e2214556120 (2023).
- 63. Hsia, Y. et al. Design of multi-scale protein complexes by hierarchical building block fusion. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 2294 (2021).
- 64. Sheriff, S., Chang, C. Y. & Ezekowitz, R. A. Human mannose-binding protein carbohydrate recognition domain trimerizes through a triple alpha-helical coiled-coil. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **1**, 789–794 (1994).
- 65. Jumper, J. et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589 (2021).
- 66. Koehler Leman, J. et al. Better together: elements of successful scientific software development in a distributed collaborative community. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **16**, e1007507 (2020).
- Ruff, K. M. & Pappu, R. V. AlphaFold and implications for intrinsically disordered proteins. J. Mol. Biol. 433, 167208 (2021).
- Guo, H.-B. et al. AlphaFold2 models indicate that protein sequence determines both structure and dynamics. *Sci. Rep.* 12, 10696 (2022).
- Wilson, C. J., Choy, W.-Y. & Karttunen, M. AlphaFold2: a role for disordered protein/region prediction? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23, 4591 (2022).
- 70. Tamara, S., den Boer, M. A. & Heck, A. J. R. High-resolution native mass spectrometry. *Chem. Rev.* **122**, 7269–7326 (2022).
- 71. Wörner, T. P. et al. Resolving heterogeneous macromolecular assemblies by Orbitrap-based single-particle charge detection mass spectrometry. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 395–398 (2020).
- 72. Deslignière, E., Rolland, A., Ebberink, E. H. T. M., Yin, V. & Heck, A. J. R. Orbitrap-based mass and charge analysis of single molecules. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **56**, 1458–1468 (2023).
- He, Y. & Mao, C. Balancing flexibility and stress in DNA nanostructures. *Chem. Commun.* https://doi.org/10.1039/ B513962G (2006).
- 74. Wagenbauer, K. F., Sigl, C. & Dietz, H. Gigadalton-scale shape-programmable DNA assemblies. *Nature* **552**, 78–83 (2017).
- 75. Harrison, S. C. The familiar and the unexpected in structures of icosahedral viruses. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **11**, 195–199 (2001).
- Laniado, J., Meador, K. & Yeates, T. O. A fragment-based protein interface design algorithm for symmetric assemblies. *Protein Eng. Des. Sel.* 34, gzab008 (2021).

#### Article

 Hamilton, J. R. et al. Targeted delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 and transgenes enables complex immune cell engineering. *Cell Rep.* 35, 109207 (2021).

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2025

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>2</sup>Institute for Protein Design, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>3</sup>Transdisciplinary Research Areas 'Building Blocks of Matter and Fundamental Interactions', University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. <sup>4</sup>Life and Medical Sciences Institute, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. <sup>5</sup>Department of Chemistry, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Munich, Germany. <sup>6</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of York, York, UK. <sup>7</sup>York Cross-Disciplinary Center for Systems Analysis, University of York, York, UK. <sup>8</sup>Department of Integrative, Structural and Computational Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA, USA. <sup>9</sup>Scripps Consortium for HIV/AIDS Vaccine Development, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA, USA. <sup>10</sup>School of Life Sciences, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. <sup>11</sup>Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands. <sup>12</sup>Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands. <sup>13</sup>Department of Chemistry, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan. <sup>14</sup>Graduate Program in Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>15</sup>Prescient Design, Genentech, San Francisco, CA, USA. <sup>16</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Seattle, WA, USA. <sup>17</sup>Department of Biology, University of York, York, UK. <sup>18</sup>These authors contributed equally: Neville P. Bethel, Farzad Fatehi. <sup>1</sup>Ce-mail: akhmelin@cup.lmu.de; neilking@uw.edu

#### Methods

#### Protein purification and low-resolution characterization

Bacterial expression and purification have been performed as previously described for each nanoparticle<sup>7,62</sup>. Briefly, pET29b plasmids containing the genes encoding for each design were transformed in Lemo21(DE3) E. coli (NEB) competent cells. Single colonies from agar plate with 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin were inoculated in 50 ml of TB II media supplemented with 50 mg  $l^{-1}$  of kanamycin (Sigma). For I32-10, protein expression was induced by addition of 0.5 mM isopropyl-thio- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside (Sigma) when cell growth reached and optical density of 0.8 nm (OD<sub>0.8</sub>) at 37 °C and was allowed to proceed for 3-5 h. For the KWOCAs, proteins were expressed by autoinduction by additionally supplementing the media with 50x5052, 20 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> and trace metal mix. at 18 °C for 24 h after initial growth for 6-8 h at 37 °C. Cells were gathered by centrifugation at 4,000g and lysed by sonication or microfluidization after resuspension in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 5% glycerol), followed by addition of bovine pancreatic DNasel (Sigma-Aldrich) and protease inhibitors (Thermo Scientific). Clarified lysate supernatants were batch bound with equilibrated Ni-NTA resin (QIAGEN). Washes were performed with 5-10 column volumes of lysis buffer, and then eluted with 3 column volumes of the same buffer containing 500 mM imidazole. Concentrated or unconcentrated elution fractions were further purified using a Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) on an AKTA Pure (Cytiva) into 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl and 5% glycerol.

SEC profiles for each purified assembly (Fig. 1) were obtained by high-pressure liquid chromatography on an Agilent Bio SEC-5 500 Å (for KWOCA assemblies), 1,000 Å column (for I32-10) (Agilent) at a flow rate of 0.35 ml min<sup>-1</sup> by injection of 10  $\mu$ l of purified eluate using a mobile phase of Tris-buffered saline (50 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 5% v/v glycerol). For KWOCA 70 D7, a Superose 6 Increase column (Cytiva) was used instead.

DLS measurements were performed using the default Sizing and Polydispersity method on the UNcle (UNchained Labs). Then 8.8 µl of SEC-purified elution fractions was pipetted into the provided glass cuvettes. DLS measurements were run with ten replicates at 25 °C with an incubation time of 1 s; results were averaged across runs. Other DLS measurements were also obtained using a DynaPro NanoStar (Wyatt) or Zetasizer (Malvern Panalytical) setup, with ten acquisitions per measurement and three measurements per protein sample.

To identify the molecular mass of each protein, intact mass spectra were obtained via reverse-phase liquid chromatography with MS on an Agilent 6230B TOF on an AdvanceBio RP-Desalting column, and subsequently deconvoluted by way of Bioconfirm using a total entropy algorithm. For liquid chromatography, buffers were water with 0.1% formic acid and acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid; the proteins were eluted using a gradient of 10 to 100% acetonitrile buffer over 2 min.

Selected SEC fractions were concentrated to 1–5 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> in buffer containing 2% glycerol. The flowthrough was used as a blank for buffer subtraction during SAXS analysis. Samples were then centrifuged (13,000*g*) and passed through a 0.22  $\mu$ m syringe filter (Millipore). These proteins and buffer blanks were shipped to the SIBYLS High-Throughput SAXS ALS Advanced Light Source in Berkeley, CA, USA to obtain scattering data<sup>78-81</sup>. Scattering traces were analyzed and fit to theoretical models using the FOXS 15 server (https://modbase. compbio.ucsf.edu/foxs/)<sup>82,83</sup>.

For nsEM, samples were diluted to  $0.1-0.02 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$  and  $3 \mu l was negatively stained using Gilder Grids overlaid with a thin layer of carbon and 2% uranyl formate as previously described<sup>84</sup>. Data were collected on an Talos L120C 120 kV electron microscope equipped with a CETA camera. Grids containing KWOCA 70 D7 were imaged on the BioTalos 120 microscope at the Interdisciplinary Center for Electron Microscopy at the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL). The microscope operated at 120 kV and the magnification was set to$ 

#### Cryo-EM

Cryo-EM grids with KWOCA 18 and 70 samples were prepared on Vitrobot mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Chamber settings were as follows: T = 10 °C, humidity 100%, blotting force 0, wait time 10 s and blotting time 4–7 s. The KWOCA 18 sample was at a concentration of 4.93 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> in 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl and 2% glycerol, while KWOCA 70 was at a concentration of 4.58 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> in 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl and 5% glycerol. These samples were applied onto UltrAuFoil R 1.2/1.3 grids (Au, 300-mesh; Quantifoil Micro Tools GmbH). Before sample application, the grids were glow discharged using Ar/O<sub>2</sub> plasma for 10 s on a Solarus 950 plasma cleaner (Gatan). 0.5 µl of 0.04 mM lauryl maltose neopentyl glycol stock solution was mixed with 3.5 µl of each KWOCA sample and 3 µl were immediately loaded onto the grids. Following the blotting step, the grids were plunge-frozen into liquid ethane cooled by liquid nitrogen.

KWOCA-containing grids were imaged on autoloader-equipped Talos Arctica transmission electron microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operating at 200 kV. Raw video micrographs were collected on K2 summit direct electron detector (Gatan) operating in counting mode. Nominal exposure magnification was  $\times$ 36,000 resulting in a pixel size of 1.15 Å at the specimen level. Automated data collection was performed using the Leginon package<sup>86</sup>. Data collection information is provided in Table 1.

Raw frames were aligned and dose weighted using MotionCor2 (ref. 87) and contrast transfer function parameters were estimated using GCTF<sup>88</sup>. Particle picking, 2D classification and generation of initial models were performed in cryoSPARC v.3.2.0 (ref. 85). Clean particle stacks were then transferred to Relion v.3.0 (ref. 89) for subsequent 3D classification and refinement steps. The KWOCA18 dataset comprised 1,803 micrographs and yielded 300,970 clean particles going into 3D. This particle subset was subjected to three rounds of 3D classification without symmetry imposition (C1), resulting in two major classes comprising 10 or 12 trimeric building blocks with apparent D5 or D2 symmetry, respectively. These classes were subjected to 3D refinement with corresponding symmetry imposed. For KWOCA 70, we collected 2,364 micrographs and obtained 360,972 particles after initial processing in crvoSPARC. Following one round of 3D classification without svmmetry imposition (C1) we resolved two subsets of particles featuring 12 and 14 trimeric building blocks with apparent D2 and D3 symmetry, respectively. These classes were also subjected to 3D refinement with corresponding symmetry imposed. The 3D refined maps of different assemblies of KWOCA 18 (D5 or D2) and KWOCA 70 (D2 and D3) were postprocessed (solvent masking and B factor sharpening) and used for docking of atomic models and subsequent interpretation. Data processing workflows and relevant map statistics are displayed in Extended Data Fig. 1.

The I32-10 specimen was initially prepared at a concentration of 2 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> and was frozen using Lacey Carbon grids, coated with a thin layer of additional carbon. To ensure even distribution of particles in ice, the sample underwent two rounds of centrifugation, first for 20 min and then for an additional 30 min in the presence of 100 mM glycine. This was done before dilution and freezing, as these steps were shown to substantially reduce the presence of aggregated and/or flocculating nanoparticles. Grids were glow discharged for 25 s at 15 mA, and were subsequently blotted using conditions that included a 2–2.5 s blot time, maintaining 100% humidity in the chamber and using 1:30 and 1:50 dilution factors with a 10-s delay before blotting. After dilution, but before freezing, samples were kept on ice for approximately one additional hour to allow for further equilibration of this system, which manifested as a further reduction in the amount of visible aggregation and/or flocculation of 132-10.

Cryo-EM data for the 132-10 nanoparticle were collected using a Thermo Fisher Glacios 200 kV transmission electron microscope. The microscope was equipped with a direct electron detector camera and operated at a nominal magnification of ×36,000. A total of 3,381 videos were recorded during data collection. Micrographs were acquired at a defocus range of -0.8 to -1.8 µm to ensure optimal contrast. The data collection was performed using a pixel size of 1.16 Å per pixel. Data were collected in a single-hole, one-shot-per-hole mode with stage movement for each acquisition. A total measured dose of approximately 75 electrons per angstrom squared (e<sup>-</sup>/Å<sup>2</sup>) was measured during data collection.

Data processing and statistical analysis for I32-10 were conducted using a suite of computational tools and sorting methods. Initial 2D class averages and 3D ab initio models were generated using CisTEM. The preliminary symmetry classification identified the predominant nanoparticle species as likely having tetrahedral symmetry, resembling a truncated triaxis tetrahedra. The data were then imported into Relion, where a series of sequential and iterative 3D classification jobs were performed, with the truncated triakis tetrahedra map used as the input reference model for the first round of 3D classification. These initial iterations revealed the additional presence of a diverse set of nanoparticle assembly configurations that deviated from the reference tetrahedra and were supported by a large amount of heterogeneity visible in both the raw cryo-EM micrographs and subsequent cryo-EM 2D class averages. For further 3D reconstructions, an iterative approach was adopted to parse out probable alternative assembly geometries. Initial references for 3D classifications were always derived from previous iteration outputs, focusing on intact maps of nanoparticles displaying varying symmetry groups. Notably, all 3D classification steps were executed without applying symmetry operators and in the presence of one or more initial models to help guide classification. This iterative process occasionally necessitated revisiting 2D class averages, allowing for the selective removal of particles (based on their measured 2D diameters) to help more accurately 3D classify between nanoparticle assembly states. Throughout the data processing pipeline, a comprehensive suite of software tools was harnessed, including Relion, CisTEM and CryoSPARC. Following 3D classification and/or heterogeneous refinements within each software package, gold-standard 3D refinements were always performed, using the symmetry groups identified through a visual analysis of the outputs from asymmetric 3D classification runs. The resulting refined maps were then subjected to a final round of gold-standard 3D refinement, without applying any symmetry constraints. It is noteworthy that during this final refinement stage, the initial models underwent rigorous low-pass filtering. Maps and particles that did not reach a geometry resembling the symmetrically refined input map at this stage were promptly discarded. This decision stemmed from a reduced level of confidence due to the system's flexibility, which led to the lower-than-expected resolution cryo-EM maps reported here and the inconsistency in achieving reproducible final map data.

#### Sample preparation for native and charge detection MS

KWOCA 18, 51 and 70 samples were buffer-exchanged into 150 mM aqueous ammonium acetate solution (pH 8) using Amicon 50 kDa molecular-weight cutoff centrifugal filters (Merck Millipore). Then, an aliquot of  $-2 \,\mu$ l from each sample was directly introduced into a gold-coated borosilicate capillary (prepared in-house) for nano-electrospray ionization in positive ion mode. Eventually, all samples were analyzed on an Orbitrap Q Exactive UHMR mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

#### Native MS data acquisition

Instrument parameters were optimized for the transmission of high-mass ions. Therefore, ion transfer target m/z and detector optimization was set to high m/z mode. The in-source trapping function was enabled with a desolvation voltage of -75 V. Nitrogen was used as

the collision gas with pressure ranging from 2 to  $5 \times 10^{-10}$  mbar (ultrahigh vacuum readout). Particles were desolvated in the HCD cell with HCD energies between 100 and 125 V. For tandem MS measurements, charge-state distributions were isolated through a quadrupole linear ion trap and then those subunits from selected precursor ions were ejected with relatively high HCD energies ranging from 150 to 175 V. All data were recorded either at resolution settings corresponding to 16 or 32 ms of ion transients. A couple of scans were summed in the Xcalibur Qualbrowser to the final displayed mass spectra.

#### Charge detection MS data acquisition

A resolution of 200,000 at 400 m/z was set for 1 s ion transient. The noise level parameter was fixed at 0 and the pressure level was adjusted to about  $1 \times 10^{-10}$  mbar (ultrahigh vacuum readout). An in-source trapping voltage of -75 V was applied with optimized HCD voltage ranging from 100 to 150 V for maximal ion transmission. After the multi-scan acquisition, an appropriate calibration factor was applied, which correlates the measured intensities to the charges of individual single ions as previously described<sup>90</sup>. According to the determined charge state, the resulting formula mass =  $m/z \times z - z$  was processed to calculate the mass of each particle, separately.

#### Molecular dynamics simulations

Each model was solvated in an octahedral periodic box of optimal point charge water and 150 mM NaCl using AmberTools18 (ref. 91) (for further details see Supplementary Table 3). Simulations were run at constant pressure (1 bar) and temperature (298 K) using the Monte Carlo barostat, the Langevin thermostat, a nonbonded cutoff of 10 Å and the ff19SB forcefield<sup>92</sup>. Using the CUDA enabled version of Amber18, four parallel simulations for each model were equilibrated using the AmberMDprep protocol<sup>93</sup>. Once equilibrated, the production simulations were run at 2 fs timestep for a total of 240 ns each, yielding an aggregate simulation time of 960 ns for each model simulations were processed using cpptraj<sup>91</sup>.

#### Model reconstruction for observed architectures

To generate reconstructed assemblies for KWOCA 18 and KWOCA 70 from the molecular dynamics simulations, snapshots from the production runs at 2 ns timesteps were fitted into the cryo-EM maps using the colores function in Situs v.3.1 (ref. 94). For each map, each unique trimer and dimer interface was masked and used for fitting across all snapshots. Snapshots were scored by cross-correlation, and the top 100 fits were used for stitching. The selected snapshots were then attempted for full reconstruction of the cage and adjacent trimer and dimers were scored by r.m.s.d. of an 20-residue helical region between the trimer and the assembly interface. Overall cages were then scored by overall r.m.s.d. of all stitched regions, and the cages with the lowest overall r.m.s.d. were selected for each unique nanocage.

To reconstruct each observed 132-10 architecture, we took a different approach to introduce flexibility in the system. Two building blocks extracted from the original design model were consequently fitted into the cryo-EM maps. First, the entire dimeric component along with the two interacting globular domains of the adjacent trimeric components were fitted into the maps using the colores function in Situs v.3.1 (ref. 94). Next, unique fits not overlapping with the vertices of the maps and correctly oriented were used to position the central three-helix bundles of the trimeric component, by minimizing the r.m.s.d. between the overlapping loop region between the two building blocks that were then stitched together.

All constructed models were finally relaxed, guided by the cryo-EM densities using Rosetta 3 (refs. 95,96).

#### Classification of polyhedral scaffolds for KWOCA cages

The scaffolds of KWOCA assemblies are polyhedra formed from regular pentagonal and square faces. They can be classified using Euler's

formula. Denoting by *V*, *E* and *F* the numbers of vertices, edges and faces in any given convex polyhedron, respectively, then V - E + F = 2. Let  $F_4$  and  $F_5$  be the number of squares and pentagons on the surface of the cage. Thus,  $V = (4F_4 + 5F_5)/3$ ,  $E = (4F_4 + 5F_5)/2$  and  $F = F_4 + F_5$ . Thus  $2F_4 + F_5 = 12$ , implying the following solutions:

- (1)  $F_4 = 6$ ,  $F_5 = 0$ : cube made of eight trimers
- (2)  $F_4 = 5$ ,  $F_5 = 2$ : an assembly with D5 symmetry made of ten trimers
- (3)  $F_4 = 4$ ,  $F_5 = 4$ : an assembly with D2 symmetry made of 12 trimers
- (4)  $F_4 = 3$ ,  $F_5 = 6$ : an assembly with D3 symmetry made of 14 trimers
- (5)  $F_4 = 2$ ,  $F_5 = 8$ : an assembly with D4 symmetry made of 16 trimers
- (6)  $F_4 = 1, F_5 = 10$ : it is not possible to form an assembly with these values
- (7)  $F_4 = 0, F_5 = 12$ : a dodecahedron (icosahedral symmetry) made of 20 trimers

All possible architectures are shown in Fig. 4d. Architectures are rendered using the Carbon Generator (CaGe) program<sup>97</sup>.

#### Mathematical reconstruction of assembly architectures

Mathematical models of KWOCA assemblies were reconstructed from the polyhedral scaffolds described above. In KWOCAs models, every trimeric protein building block is represented by three lines connecting the center of mass of its central helical bundle (Fig. 4a, shown in dark pink) with the centers of mass of the helices forming the interfaces to neighboring timers. To construct these models also for the cases when PDB files of the cages are not available, the vertices representing the centers of mass of the central helical bundles are positioned on the vertices of the polyhedral scaffold such that the helical bundle, when later mapped onto the mathematical model, aligns with the axis connecting the polyhedral center with its vertices. They are then rotated about and radially translated along this axis, such that lines in neighboring trimers meet on the lines bisecting the polyhedral edges at angle  $\delta$ . We characterize the degree of flatness of the trimer in terms of the angle sum  $S = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$ , where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ denote the angles between any two neighboring lines (Fig. 4a). The larger S, the flatter the trimer. To account for the flexibility of individual arms in the trimer, we introduce the angle  $\theta$  (Extended Data Fig. 8). By rotating the triangle given by the arms meeting at  $\delta$  and the corresponding polyhedral edge around that edge, we can obtain distinct decompositions of S.

A polyhedral scaffold is characterized by the angle  $\varphi$  between the axis connecting neighboring polyhedral vertices with the center of the polyhedral frame (Fig. 4a). Given values of *S* at any two neighboring vertices,  $\delta$  at the connecting edge is completely determined and vice versa. Thus, by varying  $\delta$  and  $\theta$  we can determine the corresponding value of *S*.

#### KWOCA 70 redesign

To design rigid variants of KWOCA 70, we took two alternative approaches: (1) redesign the sequence only of the junction region (Extended Data Table 1) using ProteinMPNN<sup>19</sup> (48 sequences); (2) partial diffusion of the junction region (as defined above or with flanking five residues both up and downstream) using RFdiffusion<sup>13</sup> (24 backbones per definition) followed by sequence redesign of the diffused residues and five flanking residues using ProteinMPNN<sup>19</sup> (48 sequences per backbone). Designed sequences were predicted using AF2 (ref. 65) (single sequence, no template and one recycle). From all designs with r.m.s.d. < 1 Å, the top five designs from approach 1 (KWOCA 70 OG 1–5) and top ten designs from approach 2 (KWOCA 70 D1–10) based on highest pLDDT scores and lowest ProteinMPNN score were selected (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2) for experimental validation as described above.

#### **Reporting summary**

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

#### Data availability

Source data for all images and data generated and analyzed by the authors are provided with this paper. The model of the I32-10 naturally occurring trimeric scaffold is available under the PDB accession number ISED. Density maps for KWOCA18 and KWOCA70 were deposited to the Electron Microscopy Data Bank under accession codes EMD-42917, EMD-42919, EMD-42921 and EMD-42924. All high-confidence maps for I32-10 can be found here: https://files.ipd.uw.edu/pub/oligomorphism/I32-10\_maps.tar.gz. Reconstructed models relaxed into the respective density maps can be found at: https://files.ipd.uw.edu/pub/oligomorphism/relaxed\_models.tar.gz. Molecular dynamics simulation trajectories can be found at: https://files.ipd.uw.edu/pub/oligomorphism/simulations.tar.gz.

#### **Code availability**

All data plots were produced using Python v.3 and protein models were rendered using UCSF ChimeraX<sup>98</sup>. Code is available on GitHub for model reconstruction for each observed geometry (https://github.com/nbethel/KWOCA\_stitching.git) and mathematical modeling of assembly architectures (http://github.com/MathematicalComputationalVirology/NanoMaths.git) for KWOCA 18 and 70.

#### References

- Putnam, C. D., Hammel, M., Hura, G. L. & Tainer, J. A. X-ray solution scattering (SAXS) combined with crystallography and computation: defining accurate macromolecular structures, conformations and assemblies in solution. *Q. Rev. Biophys.* 40, 191–285 (2007).
- 79. Hura, G. L. et al. Robust, high-throughput solution structural analyses by small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). *Nat. Methods* **6**, 606–612 (2009).
- Classen, S. et al. Implementation and performance of SIBYLS: a dual endstation small-angle X-ray scattering and macromolecular crystallography beamline at the Advanced Light Source. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 46, 1–13 (2013).
- Dyer, K. N. et al. High-throughput SAXS for the characterization of biomolecules in solution: a practical approach. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1091, 245–258 (2014).
- Schneidman-Duhovny, D., Hammel, M., Tainer, J. A. & Sali, A. Accurate SAXS profile computation and its assessment by contrast variation experiments. *Biophys. J.* **105**, 962–974 (2013).
- Schneidman-Duhovny, D., Hammel, M., Tainer, J. A. & Sali, A. FoXS, FoXSDock and MultiFoXS: single-state and multi-state structural modeling of proteins and their complexes based on SAXS profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W424–W429 (2016).
- 84. Veesler, D. et al. Single-particle EM reveals plasticity of interactions between the adenovirus penton base and integrin  $\alpha V\beta 3$ . Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA **111**, 8815–8819 (2014).
- Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J. & Brubaker, M. A. cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat. Methods* 14, 290–296 (2017).
- 86. Cheng, A. et al. Leginon: new features and applications. *Protein Sci.* **30**, 136–150 (2021).
- Zheng, S. Q. et al. MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 14, 331–332 (2017).
- 88. Zhang, K. Gctf: real-time CTF determination and correction. J. Struct. Biol. **193**, 1–12 (2016).
- Zivanov, J. et al. New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure determination in RELION-3. *eLife* 7, e42166 (2018).
- 90. Young, G. et al. Quantitative mass imaging of single biological macromolecules. *Science* **360**, 423–427 (2018).
- 91. Case, D. A., Ben-Shalom, I. Y., Brozell, S. R. & Cerutti, D. S. *Amber* 2018 (Univ. California, San Franciso, 2018).

#### Article

- Tian, C. et al. ff19SB: amino-acid-specific protein backbone parameters trained against quantum mechanics energy surfaces in solution. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16, 528–552 (2020).
- Roe, D. R. & Brooks, B. R. A protocol for preparing explicitly solvated systems for stable molecular dynamics simulations. J. Chem. Phys. 153, 054123 (2020).
- Wriggers, W. Conventions and workflows for using Situs. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 68, 344–351 (2012).
- DiMaio, F. et al. Atomic-accuracy models from 4.5-Å cryo-electron microscopy data with density-guided iterative local refinement. *Nat. Methods* 12, 361–365 (2015).
- Wang, R. Y.-R. et al. Automated structure refinement of macromolecular assemblies from cryo-EM maps using Rosetta. *eLife* 5, e17219 (2016).
- Brinkmann, G., Delgado-Friedrichs, O., Lisken, S., Peeters, A. & Van Cleemput, N. CaGe: a virtual environment for studying some special classes of plane graphs: an update. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 63, 533–552 (2010).
- Pettersen, E. F. et al. UCSF ChimeraX: structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Sci.* 30, 70–82 (2021).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and the Collaboration for AIDS Vaccine Discovery (CAVD) (grant nos. INV-010680 to D.B. and N.P.K. and INV-002916 to A.B.W.), the National Science Foundation (grant nos. DMREF 1629214 to D.B. and N.P.K.), the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease (grant nos. U54AI170856 to N.P.K., 1P01AI167966 to D.V. and N.P.K., DP1AI158186 to D.V., and 75N93022C00036 to D.V.), the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (grant no. HDTRA1-18-1-0001 to D.B. and N.P.K.), Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) (grant no. ENPPS. LIFT.019.001 to A.J.R.H. and S.-H.L.), the Spinoza Award (grant no. SPI.2017.028 to A.J.R.H.), the Wellcome Trust (Joint Investigator Award nos. 110145 and 110146 to R.T.), an EPSRC Established Career Fellowship (grant no. EP/R023204/1 to R.T., which also provided funding for F.F.), a Royal Society Wolfson Fellowship (grant no. RSWF/ R1/180009 to R.T.), generous gifts from the Audacious project and Open Philanthropy (D.B. and N.P.K.), the German Research Foundation (DFG) through the Collaborative Research Center SFB1032 (A.K.) and the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and the Free State of Bavaria under the Excellence Strategy of the Federal Government and the Länder through the ONE MUNICH Project Munich Multiscale Biofabrication (A.K., which also provided funding for H.Y.C). A.A. was supported by an amfAR Mathilde Krim Fellowship in Biomedical Research (grant no. 110182-69-RKVA), N.P.B. was supported by an HHMI Hanna Gray Fellowship (grant no. GT11817).

Structural studies at the University of Washington were supported by the University of Washington Arnold and Mabel Beckman cryo-EM center and the National Institute of Health grant no. S10OD032290. D.V. and D.B. are Investigators of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. We thank I. C. Haydon for support with graphical design. We thank D. Demurtas and M. Cantoni from the Center for Electron Microscopy center at EPFL for their assistance with the collection of nsEM data, the laboratory of O. Merkel for access to DLS instrumentation, P. Kielkowski for the quality control MS measurements of new designs, the Leibniz Supercomputing center for providing computing time on its Linux-Cluster and AI Systems, and the Khmelinskaia laboratory for essential laboratory support.

#### **Author contributions**

A.K. and N.P.K. designed the research. A.K., N.P.B., F.F., B.B.M., A.A., A.J.B, S.-H.L., H.Y.C., J.Y.(J.)W., M.C.M., A.M.W., C.O., S.C., M.W. and R.T. acquired, analyzed and/or interpreted the data. A.K., N.P.B., F.F., S.-H.L., A.J.R.H., R.T. and N.P.K. wrote the paper. A.K., N.P.B., F.F., B.B.M., A.A., A.J.B., S.-H.L., H.Y.C., J.Y.(J.)W., M.C.M., A.M.W., C.O., S.C., M.W., A.J.R.H., D.V., A.B.W., D.B., R.T. and N.P.K. reviewed the paper.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors have patent filings to disclose: J.Y.(J.)W., A.K., D.B. and N.P.K. are coinventors on patent applications (International patent application PCT/US2023/065397 (2023) and US provisional patent application 63/328,394 (2022)) related to this work. The other authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Additional information**

Extended data is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-025-01490-z.

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-025-01490-z.

**Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to Alena Khmelinskaia or Neil P. King.

**Peer review information** *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* thanks Derek Woolfson and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Primary Handling Editors: Sara Osman and Katarzyna Ciazynska, in collaboration with the *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* team.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at www.nature.com/reprints.



**Extended Data Fig. 1** | **Cryo-EM acquisition and analysis pipeline for both KWOCA assemblies.** Raw micrographs, representative 2D class averages, 3D classes, local resolution estimation maps, and FSC curves corresponding to the cryo-EM densities for (**a**) KWOCA 18 and (**b**) KWOCA 70 in Fig. 2.



**Extended Data Fig. 2** | **Cryo-EM density maps obtained for the KWOCA 18 and 70 assemblies.** Orientations highlighting the (top) cyclic and (bottom) dihedral symmetry axes of each (**a**) KWOCA 18 and (**b**) KWOCA 70 assembly are provided in addition to those shown in Fig. 2c,d to highlight the different pores found in each architecture.



**Extended Data Fig. 3** | **Cryo-EM insights into 132-10** assembly diversity. (a) Representative raw micrographs illustrate the 132-10 sample embedded within vitreous ice. The introduction of 100 mM glycine prior to freezing, across three separate instances, effectively mitigated flocculation and aggregation, facilitating downstream data processing to analyze distinct 132-10 assembly states. Movies were acquired across two experimental replicates from samples containing 100 mM glycine for subsequent high-resolution analysis. (b) 3D ab initio reconstructions (C1 symmetry) in cisTEM unveiled a tetrahedral configuration adopted by the majority of 132-10 nanoparticles. (c) Independent C1 3D ab initio reconstructions in CryoSPARC further validated the tetrahedral assembly as the majority 132-10 species. (d) Relion 2D class averages highlighted remarkable heterogeneity in 132-10, encompassing variations in size and geometry. (e) Raw micrographs and subsequent 3D classification in Relion confirmed the existence of diverse off-target and on-target assembly states, consistent with the observations from 2D class averages. (f) An overview of the iterative 3D classification pipeline, as detailed in the Methods section. Supervised 3D classification jobs utilized heavily low-pass-filtered starting models, occasionally leading to the discovery of novel subspecies in output models. Scale bars: (a) 200 nm; (e) 50 nm.



**Extended Data Fig. 4 | Mass spectrometry confirms coexistence of multiple species for KWOCAs 18 and 70. (a)** Comparison between the (top) experimental and (bottom) simulated native mass spectra from Fig. 2f.g. A 0.5:1:1.25 ratio of 9.3-, 10-, and 12-trimer assemblies was used in the simulation for KWOCA 18, and a 1:1.25 ratio of 12- and 14-trimer assemblies for KWOCA 70. (b) Tandem mass spectra by higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) with isolation m/z ranges

of (left) 12,500-13,500 and (right) 14,500-15,500 for KWOCA 18, and isolation m/z ranges of (left) 15,000–16,000 and (right) 16,500–17,500 for KWOCA 70. (c) Conventional native mass spectra with lower m/z ranging from 4,500–10,000 and 4,000–14,500 for KWOCAs 18 and 70, respectively. The inset shows both 2D and 1D spectra of the deconvoluted masses from co-occurring low mass species.



**Extended Data Fig. 5** | **Integrity of protein building blocks, interfaces, and domains along simulated MD trajectories.** Overlay of individual 240 ns trajectories (blue to red) for (top) the trimeric building block and (bottom) the interface between neighboring trimers, as well as RMSD analysis of each domain

(see Fig. 1f) along the trajectories (helical bundle/interface vs. helical domain) for (a) KWOCA 18 and (b) KWOCA 70. (c) For 132-10, 240 ns trajectories for the (top) trimer, (middle) dimer, and (bottom) dimer-trimer interface (blue to red) and (right) RMSD analysis for each domain along the trajectories are shown.

#### Article



**Extended Data Fig. 6** | **Inherent structural flexibility is sufficient to build highquality models of the observed assemblies.** (a,b) Overlay of the snapshots used to build each model for KWOCAs 18 and 70, respectively. Rigid bodies extracted from the design models are colored in grey. In addition to full snapshots (left), overlays of all individual chains aligned to the helical bundle or the protein interface are shown to highlight the flexibility in the fusion region (right). (c,d) Models built by fitting (top row, color) snapshots extracted from the design models trajectories or (bottom row, grey) rigid bodies extracted from the design models

into the experimental cryo-EM density maps for KWOCAs 18 and 70, respectively. Insets highlight regions where the fits of the MD snapshots are clearly superior to those from the design models. (e) RMSDs between fitted trimeric and dimeric building blocks at the stitching region where the bars represent the maximum value (see Methods for details) and (f) the overall fit of the model to the cryo-EM density using rigid bodies from the design model or snapshots from the MD trajectories both highlight the higher quality of the models constructed from the MD snapshots.



Extended Data Fig. 7 | Allowing flexibility in the hinge region of the 132-10 trimer during docking renders plausible models of observed 132-10 assemblies. (a) Comparison of (top) the design model with (bottom) the rigid bodies used for two-step docking into the experimental cryo-EM density maps. In the latter, the trimeric scaffold was cropped so that the helical bundle, which occupies the vertices of each architecture (dark blue), can be treated as a separate rigid body from the helical domains that form protein-protein interfaces with the dimeric scaffold (light blue). The entire light blue region in the bottom image, comprising the dimer with both neighboring helical domains

from the trimer, was used as a single rigid body during docking. (**b**) Overlay of the l32-10 icosahedral design model (grey) with the corresponding built model (blue). (**c**) Example of a fit using a single rigid body derived from the design model into the D2 architecture (36 trimers + 54 dimers) cryo-EM density. The resulting model generates clashes at the center of the helical bundle (highlighted in red). (**d**) Situs metric95 for the built models. Models for the icosahedral (20 trimers + 30 dimers) and D2 (36 trimers + 54 dimers) architectures constructed using each method are compared.



a

**Extended Data Fig. 8** | **Asymmetric adjustment of individual subunits in a trimer. (a)** The angle  $\theta$  quantifies the asymmetric adjustment of the trimer. (b) The values of  $\theta$  in the cryo-EM models of KWOCAs 18 and 70 compared to the designed structures. The multiple angles observed experimentally for  $\theta$  is one indicator of the quasisymmetry observed in these systems.

# Extended Data Table 1 | List of residues comprising the junction/hinge regions of KWOCA 18, KWOCA 70, KWOCA 70 D7, and the I32-10 trimer, as well as the core region used for comparison

Design	Junction/hinge region	Core region	Oligomerization interface
KWOCA 18	107, 108, 111, 112, 114, 115, 118, 119, 121, 122, 125, 126, 129, 134, 135, 137, 138, 141, 144, 145, 148, 149, 151-154, 156-158, 160, 161, 163-165, 167, 168, 170, 171, 174	54, 56, 57, 60, 61, 63-65, 67-72, 74-76, 78-81, 83, 84, 87, 88, 90, 91, 94, 97, 98, 101-103, 106, 107, 109, 110, 113, 114, 116, 117, 120, 121, 124	11, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 22, 25, 30, 33, 34, 37, 38, 40, 41, 44, 47, 48
KWOCA 70	124, 127, 128, 131, 134, 135, 138, 145, 147, 150, 153, 154, 157, 160, 161, 164, 165, 167-174, 176-178, 180, 181, 184, 185, 187, 188, 191, 194, 195	30, 31, 34, 35, 37, 38, 41, 42, 44, 45, 48, 49, 51-53, 55-58, 61, 62, 64, 65, 68, 71, 72, 75, 78, 79, 94, 95, 97, 98, 101, 102, 105, 108, 109, 112	1-7, 9, 10, 12-17, 43, 46, 47, 50, 54
KWOCA 70 D7	115, 116, 117, 124, 128, 145, 146, 149, 152, 155, 156, 160, 163, 166, 167, 168, 169, 174, 176, 177, 180, 181, 184, 187, 188, 191, 192	30, 31, 38, 41, 42, 44, 45, 49, 52, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 62, 65, 71, 72, 79, 94, 98, 104, 105, 109, 112	3, 6-7, 9, 10, 13-14, 17, 40, 43, 46, 47, 50, 54
132-10 trimer	18,19,21-27,30,33,34	85, 88, 89, 91, 92, 95-98, 101, 102, 104, 105, 108	44-48, 50-55, 57-59, 61, 62, 64, 67, 69, 70, 72, 73, 77

# nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Alena Khmelinskaia

Last updated by author(s): Jan 10, 2025

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

#### **Statistics**

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	$\square$	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
$\boxtimes$		A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
$\boxtimes$		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
$\boxtimes$		A description of all covariates tested
$\boxtimes$		A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	$\boxtimes$	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
$\boxtimes$		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
$\boxtimes$		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
$\boxtimes$		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

#### Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code		
Data collection	cryo-EM: Leginon; MD simulations: Amber18;	
Data analysis	cryo-EM: MotionCor2, GCTF, cryoSPARC.v3.2.0, Relion/3.0, CisTEM; native MS: Xcalibur Qualbrowser; MD simulations: Amber18; model reconstruction: Situs3.1, https://github.com/nbethel/KWOCA_stitching.git, Rosetta3; rendering of polyhedrons: CaGe; mathematical reconstruction of polyhedrons: github.com/MathematicalComputationalVirology/NanoMaths.git; molecular visualization rendering: UCSF ChimeraX; plotting:Python3	

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

The cryo-EM maps for KWOCA 18 and KWOCA 70 were deposited to the Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB) under accession codes EMD-42917, EMD-42919,

EMD-42921, and EMD-42924. Cryo-EM maps for I32-10 can be found here: https://files.ipd.uw.edu/pub/oligomorphism/I32-10\_maps.tar.gz. Built models relaxed into the cyo-EM density can be found at: https://files.ipd.uw.edu/pub/oligomorphism/relaxed\_models.tar.gz

### Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with human participants or human data. See also policy information about sex, gender (identity/presentation), and sexual orientation and race, ethnicity and racism.

Reporting on sex and gender	n/a
Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings	n/a
Population characteristics	n/a
Recruitment	n/a
Ethics oversight	n/a

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

# Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

Life sciences

e sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

# Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	The number of cases chosen for this study was based on preliminary results from previous studies suggesting experimental deviations from the design models.
Data exclusions	No data was excluded during analysis.
Replication	Each experiment has been repeated at least 3 times to assure reproducibility of the results.
Randomization	Given the in vitro character of the experiments and the expected strong impact of sequence randomization on protein structure, this type of approach was excluded from the study.
Blinding	EM experiments and nMS measurements have been performed without prior knowledge of complementary methods, to ensure unbiased data analysis.

# Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

#### Materials & experimental systems

#### Methods

n/a	Involved in the study
$\boxtimes$	Antibodies
$\boxtimes$	Eukaryotic cell lines
$\boxtimes$	Palaeontology and archaeology
$\boxtimes$	Animals and other organisms
$\boxtimes$	Clinical data
$\boxtimes$	Dual use research of concern
$\boxtimes$	Plants

n/a	Involved in the study
$\ge$	ChIP-seq



MRI-based neuroimaging

## Plants

iunts	
Seed stocks	n/a
Novel plant genotypes	n/a
Authentication	n/a