Computational design of a pH-sensitive IgG binding protein
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Computational design provides the opportunity to program protein–protein interactions for desired applications. We used de novo protein interface design to generate a pH-dependent Fc domain binding protein that buries immunoglobulin G (IgG) His-433. Using next-generation sequencing of naive and selected pools of a library of design variants, we generated a molecular footprint of the designed binding surface, confirming the binding mode and guiding further optimization of the balance between affinity and pH sensitivity. In biolayer interferometry experiments, the optimized design binds IgG with a pH sensitivity. In biolayer interferometry experiments, the optimized design binds IgG with a pH sensitivity.

R
ecombinant monoclonal antibodies and Fc-fusion proteins have become an important class of biological pharmaceuticals and are widely used as research reagents. Their manufacture typically involves mammalian cells as the expression host and affinity chromatography as a key purification step. Although cell-line development and engineering have significantly enhanced antibody yields, the downstream purification steps remain expensive and reduce productivity. Most antibody purification pipelines use a Protein A-based purification step, which contributes to the majority of the raw-material costs (1, 2). Antibody elution from a Protein A column is typically achieved by lowering the pH to 3. However, at such low pH, aggregation and de

Materials and Methods

Computational Design.

Experimental Characterization of Binding and Binding Mode. Seventeen designed proteins (Table S1) were expressed on the surface of yeast and binding was assessed by flow cytometry following incubation with 700 nM biotinylated human IgG1 (Ritusan) that had been fluorescently labeled and oligomerized through binding to streptavidin-phycoerythrin (PE). Nine designs had detectable binding signals. The strongest signal was observed for design FcB6, which was designed to hydrogen bond to both Asn-434 and

Results

Though antibodies may have many different structures, several previously characterized Fc binding proteins interact with the same "consensus" region between the CH2 and CH3 domain (Fig. 1A and E). There is remarkable contact between these residues both naturally occurring and randomly selected proteins and peptides use to bind to this region (Fig. 1A). We sought to utilize some of these crucial hotspot interactions to generate IgG binding proteins with pH-dependent binding functionality.

Inspection of the binding interface on Fc reveals two accessible histidine residues, His-310 and His-433 (human IgG1 numbering). Of the two, His-433 is considerably more surface exposed. With the assumption that the burial of a surface exposed histidine residue would lead to pH sensitivity close to physiological pH (12), we set out to design a protein that binds to the Fc consensus region and buries one of the accessible histidine residues. We applied hotspot-guided protein interface design (11, 13), and aimed to generate interactions with and around Fc His-433 or His-310 to achieve pH-dependent binding. We started by generating ensembles of disembodied interaction hotspot residues based on Gln-11, Phe-14, and Leu-18 from minimized Protein A (14) (Fig. 1B and C and Fig. S1). We also considered tryptophan in place of Phe-14 (Fig. 1B and D) and asparagine in place of Gln-11. Alternative rotameric states, not clashing with the target, were included for asparagine, glutamine, and leucine (Fig. 1C and Fig. S1) to provide more solutions for scaffold placement onto the precomputed hotspot residues. We then searched for protein scaffolds with high bacterial expression and solubility that could host the hotspot residues in the correct relative orientations. After successful placement of the hotspot residues (Methods), the remainder of the interface was redesigned by using RosettaDesign (15, 16) and designs were filtered and ranked based on computed binding energy and shape complementarity (Methods).

Significance

Protein–protein interactions are part of almost every biological process; hence, the ability to manipulate and design protein binding has widespread applications. Here, we describe an approach to designing pH-dependent protein interfaces and use it to design a protein that binds antibodies at high pH but poorly at low pH. The designed protein should be useful for antibody affinity purification and diagnostic devices.
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A yield around ∼ shows the Design strategy. (A) Convergent solutions to Fc binding: The phenyl ring of Phe-14 in Protein A [orange; Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID code 1L6X] occupies the same position as the indole ring of Trp-133 of the neonatal Fc receptor (FcRn; PDB ID code 11A, gray) (29) and Trp-11 of the synthetic peptide isolated through phage display (pink; PDB ID code 1DN2) (14). (B and C) Diversified hotspot ensembles of Phe-14 (B) and Gln-11 (C). (D) Comparison of minimized Protein A B-domain (Z34C) (orange) to model of FcB6 bound to the Fc region of IgG1. The two structures have helices on opposite sides of the primary binding epitope. (E) Surface representation of the Fc domain. Green indicates the consensus-binding site where Protein A and other characterized binders interact. Brown indicates peripheral contacts by Protein A; blue residues buried by the new IgG binding protein FcB6. Some of the starting points for the de novo design protocol (disembodied Gln-11 and Phe-14 residues from Protein A) are indicated in orange.

His-433, and was thus an excellent candidate to optimize for pH-dependent binding. Both Protein A and FcB6 present a helix for binding between the CH2 and CH3 domain, and the core interface residues on FcB6, Q164, F167, Y168, M161, and L171 form very similar atomic contacts with Fc (Fig. 1D). However, no other amino acid is identical, and FcB6 differs substantially in other amino acids (A138, K170, E160, and M161 were allowed to be any of the 20 amino acids (Fig. S5)). Six selected variants after four rounds of sorting were tested for binding at pH 6.5 and pH 8. The variant with the greatest pH dependence (six- to sevenfold greater signal at pH 8 than pH 6.5 when expressed on the yeast surface) was subjected to more detailed analysis and will be referred to as FcB6.1. FcB6.1 contains the substitution E138V and an additional positive charge, A135R, at the binding surface (Fig. 3 A–C). Structural modeling of the designed variant shows a tight fit around Fc His-433 (Fig. 3A); V138 makes van der Waals interactions with and shields His-433 from solvent, strengthening the hydrogen bond (20) between Ser-134 of FcB6.1 and His-433. R135 covers and packs against Fc His-433 from the other side and also interacts favorably with the close-by negatively charged surface on Fc (Fig. 3B). Protonation of Fc His-433 would considerably reduce binding affinity by increasing the cost of desolvating of this now-charged residue, eliminating the buried hydrogen bond to Ser134 (Fig. 3A) and introducing charge repulsion with R135 (Fig. 3C). The FcB6.1 variant contains several additional mutations including M161F, which increases the buried hydrophobic surface area at the interface and reduces the identity with Protein A to only four residues, and E160T, which reduces the negative charge projected toward CH3.

Characterization of FcB6.1. Protein production yields and stability are important properties of potential affinity reagents. FcB6.1 expresses well in Escherichia coli, yielding around 60–70 mg/L in shake flasks without any optimization. CD spectroscopy showed that the protein is extremely stable; it remains folded at 80 °C (Fig. 5C; the scaffold is from a hyperthermophile), in 3 M urea, and in 1.5 M guanidine (Fig. S7 A and B). FcB6.1 can be readily obtained in nearly pure form by heating bacteria to 80 °C for 20 min followed by centrifugation; indeed, we used this protocol for purifying the protein (Methods). Repetitive heating cycles up to 80 °C did not denature the protein (Fig. 5D). The heat stability is an attractive feature for an antibody capturing reagent for chip- or bead-based assays and diagnostics: Surfaces coated with FcB6.1 for antibody immobilization could be regenerated for reuse with different antibodies by a simple heating procedure, denaturation wash, or pH change.

To examine the specificity of FcB6.1, we measured binding to biotinylated human IgG from different subclasses and species via yeast surface display. Binding of the IgGs to the minimized Protein A variant (21) (miniA) was measured for comparison. As expected, FcB6.1 binds tightly to human IgG2, IgG4, and IgG1, slightly better than the miniA (Fig. S8) and comparably to mouse IgG1 and IgG2a (Fig. S8). FcB6.1 only binds weakly to IgG3 (which Protein A does not bind) and does not bind to rat IgG2a (Fig. S8).

We used ELISA and biolayer interferometry to determine the binding behavior of FcB6.1 to biotinylated IgG. For ELISA, purified FcB6.1 protein was immobilized and fitting of resulting absorption values yielded a $K_0$ of 3.4 (± 0.4) nM at pH 8.2 (Fig. 3D). At pH 5.5, the signal continued to increase at IgG
concentrations above 1 \muM, suggesting a $K_d > 1 \muM$. For an independent estimate of the dissociation constant at pH 5.5 and pH 8.2, biotinylated IgG was immobilized onto Octet streptavidin-coated sensors and then incubated with FcB6.1. The pH dependence of the response after a 300-s association period was qualitatively similar to the ELISA results with much stronger binding at pH 8.2 than pH 5.5 (Fig. 3E). Very little difference in Protein A binding to the immobilized IgG for the two pH values was observed (Fig. 3E), indicating that the conformation of the binding site on IgG is not altered. Global fitting of the association and dissociation traces required a bivalent ligand model, suggesting that the two binding sites on the IgG may be coupled. Fitting of four independent titrations at each pH value (Fig. 3F) yielded averaged dissociation constants of 4.0 ± 2.5 nM at pH 8.2 and 3.78 ± 3.2 \muM at the lower pH value; the two fitted $K_d$ values and amplitudes at pH 8.2 are 4.0 ± 2.5 nM (~95%) and 13.9 ± 1.3 nM (~5%), and at pH 5.5, 5.8 ± 1.7 \muM (~70%) and 1.7 ± 0.2 \muM (30%). Thus, immobilized to the biosensor surface, there is at least ~500-fold difference in binding affinity between pH 5.5 and pH 8.2, very favorable characteristics for pH-dependent chromatography.

To test whether FcB6.1 could serve for affinity purification of IgG molecules, we added a C-terminal cysteine residue accompanied by a short glycine serine linker to couple the protein site specifically to a resin (SulfoLink; Thermo Scientific). To simulate a possible purification scenario, we spiked an IgG mix (20 \mug; Innovative Research) into the supernatant of Freestyle 293 suspension cell culture and incubated it with FcB6.1cys-coated resin (Methods). Almost complete elution was achieved by dropping the pH to 5.5 to ensure complete protonation of His-433 and increasing the salt concentration to 500 mM (Fig. 4). Compared with Protein A, the FcB6.1cys resin allows much milder conditions for elution, which could be crucial for purification yields of acid labile antibodies that tend to aggregate and Fc-fusion constructs.

**Discussion**

We have demonstrated that computational protein design can be used to generate affinity reagents with conditional binding functionality. In biolayer interferometry experiments, FcB6.1 binds the Fc hinge region of the IgG molecule approximately 500-fold more tightly at pH 8.2 than at pH 5.5. In addition to affinity purification and antibody immobilization on sensors, pH-dependent binding could be useful for selective release of cargo in the acidic environment of the endosome of cells targeted by a surface receptor-specific antibody.
Methods
Computational Methods. Disembodied hotspot residues Gln11, Phe14, and Leu18 from the minimized Protein A structure (1L6X) were subjected to small rigid-body perturbations against the Fc surface to generate “hotspot libraries” (Fig. 1 B and C and Fig. S1) and for the glutamine and leucine residues, additional inverse rotameric conformations were computed to give more alternatives for scaffold backbone placement (Fig. 1 C). In addition, tryptophan residues were docked at the Phe14 site and asparagine residues at the Gln11 site. In parallel, 865 native scaffolds (11) were docked against Fc by PatchDock (22) constrained to bury Ile253, Met252, Met428, or Tyr436 using the “knob” feature. For each of the top 100 docked conformations, the capability to hold the hotspot residues as in the hotspot libraries was assessed similarly as previously described by using RosettaScripts (11, 13, 16) protocols Fc-2stubs.xml and Fc-3stubs.xml (SI Appendix). Three sets of hotspots were considered: a two-hotspot solution with Gln/Asn and Phe/Trp, and three-hotspot solution with Gln/Asn, Phe/Trp, and Leu disembodied residue libraries. The remainder of the sequence for each hotspot placement on a scaffold was optimized for high affinity binding to Fc, and the resulting designs were filtered and ranked based on computed binding energy (< −10) and shape complementarity (23) (>0.63) calculated by the CCP4 package v.6.0.2 (24). Mutations that did not increase the predicted binding affinities were reverted back to their native identity.

Beneficial mutations identified via yeast binding selections were modeled using FoldIt (25). Mutations were introduced, followed by a repacking and minimization step. Poisson–Boltzmann electrostatic surface models for Pymol-based figures were computed through APBS (26), using either a protonated or deprotonated histidine 433 and a dielectric constant of 4.

Antibody Biotinylation. All antibodies were biotinylated by using SoluLink’s biotinylation kit as instructed by the manufacturer.

Initial Design Evaluation and Yeast Surface Titrations. Yeast surface display and titrations were carried out as described (27). Yeast cells (EBY100) containing plasmid encoding the designs were grown overnight at 30 °C in SDCAA medium, inoculated into SGCAA to OD<sub>1</sub>, and grown at 22 °C for 16–20 h. Cells were washed once with PBS with 0.1% bovine albumin (PBSF), before adding 700 nM biotinylated IgG (Rituxan) into a total volume of 50 μL of the same buffer. Labeling was performed at 4 °C on a rotating platform for 4 h before adding 175 nM streptavidin-PE (Invitrogen) and 4–8 μg/mL FITC-labeled anti-Cmyc antibody (ICL Laboratories) for an additional 1 h. Cells were washed once with ice-cold PBSF immediately before measuring their fluorescence via an Accuri C6 flow cytometer; data were analyzed by using the FlowJo 7.6.1 and 8.8.7 software.

Library Construction. Random mutagenesis was achieved through a Mutazyme II DNA polymerase kit (Agilent-Stratagene). One hundred nanograms of the FcB6 gene in pETCON were subjected to 31 cycles of amplification with upGS and downCmyc primers (sequences available upon request).
Fig. 4. Affinity purification of IgG molecules from cell supernatant. (A) Affinity purification protocol. (B) Purification of human antibody mix from Freestyle 293 suspension cell supernatant. Lanes 1–5, flow through and elution from the FcB6.1cys column; lanes 7–10, flow through and elution on a Protein A column. E3 contains the starting material, the cell supernatant mixed with human antibodies before purification through either column. E3, elution with 100 mM glycine buffer at pH 3; E5, elution with 25 mM phosphate buffer at pH 5.5 and 500 mM NaCl; FT, flow through; R, recovery, wash with TBS; W, wash with TBS.

Mutagenized PCR fragments were cotransformed (28) with linearized pETCON vector (11) into EBY100, resulting in 1.5 × 10e8 variants. Ten individual clones were Sanger sequenced, indicating an average error rate of approximately three nucleotide substitutions per gene. The focused library was constructed through PCR by using ultrameric oligonucleotides (IDT dna) with degenerate codons for the variable positions, resulting in two fragments that were cotransformed with linearized plasmid. After transformation into yeast, this library contained ~5 × 10e7 variants.

Library Selections. The random mutagenesis library of the original design was incubated while rotating for 4 h at 4 °C with 750 mM bovineized Rituxan and an additional hour with 187.5 nM Streptavidin–PE and 2 μg/mL anti-myc FITC-labeled antibody producing avid complexes. Cells (6.17 × 10e7) were examined and 106,000 cells were selected by using fluorescence-activated cell sorting on a BD Influx sorter. For the second, focused library, four sorts were performed with 100 nM, 100 nM, 20 nM, and 5 nM biotinylated Rituxan. Cells were incubated in PBSF at indicated pH and above listed Rituxan concentrations at each round of selection, while rotating at 4 °C for 1 h before washing once with 1 mL of ice-cold PBSF. After resuspension in 100 μL of PBSF at corresponding pH levels, 72 nM Streptavidin–PE and 2 μg/mL anti-myc FITC-labeled antibody were added and incubated for an additional 30 min (27) on ice. Detailed information about individual selections are listed in the supplementary material (Figs. 53 and 55).

Library Preparation and Next-Generation Sequencing. Plasmids were extracted as described (19). Briefly, approximately 5 × 10e7 cells were treated with Zymolase (50 U) in 400 μL of solution buffer 1 (Zymo Research; yeast plasmid miniprep kit), followed by plasmid elution with 30 μL of elution buffer (EB) (Qiagen). Possible contaminating genomic DNA was eliminated through digestion with Exol (NEB) and Lambda exonuclease (NEB) (19). After a Qiagen PCR clean-up step, illumina flow cell adapters and population-specific barcodes were added through PCR (primer sequences available upon request). PCR product was purified through gel extraction (Qiagen). Spike-in sequencing was done as described by using a Hisseq (Illumina) (19) with appropriate gene-specific sequencing primers (IDT dna). Sequencing reads were split based on their 8-bp barcode into naïve and sorted populations. Pools were treated identically for analysis and quality filtration. Forward and reverse reads with an average phred quality score above 10 were merged into single sequence, in which 49 bp were overlapping; differences between sequences were resolved by using the read information with a higher positional quality score. After quality filtering, 2,894,579 sequences were obtained for the naïve population and 890,046 for the selected pool. Each substitution at each position was counted as a single instance, and the ratio of their frequencies of selected versus unselected populations were computed through custom python and visualized through Mathematica scripts (available upon request), similarly to previously reported (18, 19). Enrichment values were only plotted if the unselected population had more than 15 occurrences.

Cloning, Expression, and Purification. DNA sequences for original designs were synthesized and cloned into pETCON (11) by GenScript USA. FcB6.1 variants were codon optimized by using DNAworks with standard E. coli codons and amplified from a gblock (IDT DNA). Variants were cloned into pET29b by using the NdeI and XhoI sites. Point mutations were generated through overlap PCR, and C-terminal cysteine addition was achieved through an extended primer. Protein variants transformed into BL21(DE3) Star cells were expressed in LB or TB media at 37 °C for 4 or 16 h through induction with 1 mM IPTG. For purification, cells were resuspended in 50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl buffer and heated to 80 °C for 20 min, and debris was eliminated through centrifugation. Protein was then applied to a standard Ni column, and buffer exchanged was performed into TBS.

Binding Analysis. To obtain a rough estimate for the binding affinity of FcB6.1, an ELISA was performed. FcB6.1 (100 μg/mL) in TBS (25 mM Tris pH 8.2 with 150 mM NaCl) with 1 mM EDTA was coated onto clear 96-well plates overnight at room temperature using 200 μL per well. Plates were blocked with 0.5% (w/v) nonfat dried milk (Bio-Rad) in TBS for 2 h. Wells were washed with either TBS at pH 8.2 or PBS (25 mM phosphate buffer at pH 5.5 with 150 mM NaCl) at pH 5.5, and antibody was titrated into the FcB6.1-coated wells in respective buffers. After 45 min, wells were washed two times with appropriate pH-adjusted buffers and containing 0.1% Tween 20, and three times with the same buffers without Tween. After 30-min incubation of Streptavidin-HRP (Bio-Rad) diluted 1:2,000 into either PBS at pH 5.5 or TBS at pH 8, wells were washed as described and incubated shortly with Ultra TMB-Elisa (Thermo Scientific) and quenched with 0.1 M H2SO4 before measuring absorption at 450 nm. Six independent titrations are reported.

The affinity of the design variants FcB6.1-C132A was determined by using an Octet Red96 (Fortebio). Streptavidin-coated sensors (Fortebio) were coated with 2 nM or 15 nM of biotinylated Rituxan. Binding analysis was performed either in TBS with 0.5% (w/v) nonfat dried milk (Bio-Rad) and 0% (vol/vol) Tween20 (Sigma-Aldrich) or pH-adjusted PBS with 0.5% (w/v) nonfat dried milk (nfdm) and 0.02% (vol/vol) Tween20. All steps were performed at 30 °C while shaking at 1000 rpm (Octet Red96). For regeneration of the sensors, three cycles of alternating for 20 s in 100 mM glycine solution at pH 3 and sodium acetate at pH 5.5 with 0.5% (w/v) Tween20 were performed. To obtain data for kinetic fits, four titrations at pH 8.2 and pH 5.5 were performed with a shorter association time of 60 s. Global fits of the data using a bivalent ligand model for association and a dissociation time of 120 s were carried out. At pH 8.2, approximately 95% of the response was associated with a Kd of 4.0 (± 2.5) nM and a second Kd of ~13.9 nM, thus we report 4.0 nM as the main dissociation constant. At pH 5.5, the two averaged Kd constants from the four titrations were 5.81 (± 1.85) μM and 1.74 (± 0.2) μM. Because both contribute to the binding signal (70% and 30%), we report their average 3.78 (± 3.2) μM as the Kd value at pH 5.5.

Resin Coupling. Purified FcB6.1cys in 25 mM Tris pH 8.2 was incubated with 25 mM TECP for at least 1 h at room temperature to reduce possibly disulfide-bonded dimers, followed by the incubation of 4 μg of FcB6.1cys protein per 1 mL SulfoLink resin material (Thermo Scientific) as instructed by the manufacturer’s manual. Resin was washed with 10 column volumes of 25 mM Tris pH 8.2 with 500 mM NaCl and 10 column volumes 25 mM Tris pH 8.2, followed by incubation with 50 mM cysteine in 25 mM Tris pH 8.2 and a final wash with TBS.

IgG Purification. Twenty micrograms of a human IgG mix (Innovative Research) was spiked into 1 mL of Freestyle suspension cell supernatant. Suspension cells were harvested after 2 wk of expressing an unrelated protein, when the cell density reached 2–3 × 10e6 cells per mL. To adjust the pH of the supernatant, 10 μL of 1 M Tris pH 8.2 were added, and the supernatant-IgG mix was incubated while rotating for 30 min at 4 °C. Resin (500 μL) was allowed to settle and washed with 10 column volumes of TBS at pH 8.2. Antibodies were eluted with 1 mL of 25 mM phosphate buffer with 500 mM NaCl at 5.5, or with 1 mL of 100 mM glycine buffer at pH 3 as indicated on Fig. 3. Fractions eluted with glycine buffer were instantly neutralized by adding 10 volumes of 1 M Tris pH 8.2. For comparison with a Protein A column, Protein A agarose resin was purchased (Pierce), and purification was carried out under the same conditions.

CD Spectrum. CD data were collected on an Aviv 420 spectrometer. Far-UV CD wavelength scans (260–200 nm) at 25 μM protein concentration, with either different urea or guanidine hydrochloride concentrations, and temperature ranges (25–95 °C) were collected in a 1-mm path-length cuvette.
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